January 23, 2015

TO: Members of the Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee)

FROM: Keith D. Crafton, Secretary

SUBJECT: Regular Meeting of the County Committee - Wednesday, February 4, 2015

The next regular meeting of the County Committee will be held at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, February 4, 2015, in the Board Room of the Los Angeles County Office of Education, located at 9300 Imperial Highway in Downey. Reserved parking spaces will be available on the east side of the building for County Committee members.

Attached is the agenda for the meeting of February 4, 2015.

If you have any questions, please call me at (562) 922-6144.

KDC/AD:ah
Attachments
AGENDA

LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION (COUNTY COMMITTEE)

Regular Meeting

Los Angeles County Office of Education
Board Room
February 4, 2015
9:30 a.m.

I  Information
D  Discussion
A  Action
*  Sent to Committee

I. CALL TO ORDER – Chairperson Mr. Frank Bostrom

II. FLAG SALUTE – Mr. Bostrom

III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES – Mr. Bostrom  I, D, A*

The minutes of the regular meeting of the County Committee, held on January 7, 2015, will be submitted for approval. (Enclosure)

IV. PRESENTATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC – Secretary  I, D, A

Mr. Keith Crafton

Any persons present desiring to address the County Committee on any proper matter may do so at this time. (Form to be completed and submitted to the secretary)
V. COMMUNICATIONS – Secretary Crafton  

Informational Correspondence

- A January 8, 2015, Local Agency Formation Commission Notice (LAFCO), LAFCO File: Reorganization No. 2014-03 to the City of Calabasas (Attachment 1)

VI. COUNTY COMMITTEE VACANCY – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will describe the process for filling the vacancy on the County Committee, in the Third Supervisorial District, due to the resignation of Mr. Ben Allen. Any candidates for office will be interviewed and may be appointed.

VII. PETITION TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS AND TRUSTEE AREA VOTING IN THE SULPHUR SPRINGS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT (SD) – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will present an update on the petition to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting within the Sulphur Springs Union SD, and its upcoming public hearing.

VIII. PETITION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES FROM FIVE TO SEVEN, AND TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS AND TRUSTEE AREA VOTING IN THE POMONA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (USD) – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will present an update on the petition to increase the governing board from five to seven, and to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting within the Pomona USD.

IX. PETITION TO TRANSFER TERRITORY FROM THE LAWNDALE SD AND THE CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH SD TO THE WISEBURN USD – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will present an update on this petition to transfer territory from the Lawndale SD and Centinela Valley Union High SD to the Wiseburn USD, and its upcoming public hearing.
X. UPDATE ON THE CALIFORNIA VOTING RIGHTS ACT (CVRA) AND TRUSTEE AREA ISSUES – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary and staff will provide an update on CVRA issues and activities in Los Angeles County and statewide, including an update on the upcoming CVRA Forum to be held in Cerritos.

XI. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – Secretary Crafton

The secretary will provide an update on current legislation and discuss the legislative advocacy process.

XII. UPDATE ON COUNTY COMMITTEE WEBSITE – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary and staff will provide an update on the County Committee website.

XIII. UPDATE ON REVIEW OF COUNTY COMMITTEE POLICIES – Mr. Bostrom

The Chairperson will request a report from the County Committee policy review subcommittee on their preliminary review of the policies.

XIV. UPDATE ON LOS ANGELES USD REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will provide an update on school district reorganization proposals affecting the Los Angeles USD. (“Summary of Los Angeles USD Reorganization Proposals”). (Attachment 2)

XV. UPDATE ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS, EXCLUDING THOSE AFFECTING THE LOS ANGELES USD – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will provide an update on school district reorganization proposals affecting Los Angeles County school and community college districts, other than the Los Angeles USD. (“Summary of Los Angeles County School District Reorganization Proposals [excluding those affecting the Los Angeles USD]”). (Attachment 3)

XVI. ADDITIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, CONCERNS, OR ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA

XVII. ADJOURNMENT
The Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee) met on Wednesday, January 7, 2015, at the Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE) in Downey. The meeting was called to order at 9:33 a.m. by Mr. Joel Peterson.

**Members Present**

Frank Bostrom  
Ted Edmiston  
Owen Griffith  
Joan Jakubowski  
John Nunez  
Frank Ogaz  
Joel Peterson  
AJ Willmer

**Members Absent**

Maria Calix  
Suzan Solomon

**Staff Present**

Keith D. Crafton, Secretary  
Allison Deegan, Staff  
Eric Hass, Staff  
Diane Tayag, Staff  
Anna Heredia, Recording Secretary

Mr. Joel Peterson led the flag salute.

It was **MOVED** by Mr. Frank Bostrom and **SECONDED** by Mr. Frank Ogaz that the minutes of the regular meeting held on October 1, 2014 be approved. Motion carried.

Mr. John Nunez reported that Mr. Bostrom was selected as the Chair and Ms. Suzan Solomon as the Vice Chair. Mr. Nunez made a motion, seconded by Joan. All in favor.

Mr. Keith Crafton reported that on October 30, 2014, the elections were held and Mr. Ogaz, from the 1st Supervisorial District, was re-elected and Dr. Owen Griffith, from the 4th Supervisorial District, was re-elected as well.
Mr. Bostrom administered the Oath of Office to Mr. Ogaz and Dr. Griffith.

Mr. Crafton reported that there is a vacancy in the County Committee due to the resignation of Mr. Ben Allen. A LACOE bulletin was distributed to all school districts with information regarding nominations for the vacancy. The deadline for applications is January 15, 2015.

Mr. Crafton reviewed and discussed correspondence contained in the County Committee agenda packets and member folders.

We will have representatives from the following districts speak when their agenda item is being discussed: ABC Unified School District (USD), Pomona USD, Lawndale School District (SD), and Eastside Union SD.

Mr. Crafton reported that a valid petition was received from Sulphur Springs Union SD to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting. Staff will contact the County Committee Members for possible dates to hold a public hearing.

Mr. Crafton reported that a valid petition was received to increase the governing board from five to seven, and to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting within the Pomona USD. Staff will contact the County Committee members for possible dates to hold a public hearing.

Mr. Crafton reported that a valid petition was received to transfer territory from Lawndale SD and Centinela Valley Union High SD. Staff will contact the County Committee members for possible dates to hold a public hearing.

Ms. Shavonda Webber-Christmas addressed the committee and stated her reasons for the petition and the choice blocks she is requesting to be transferred.

Dr. Ellen Dougherty, the Superintendent of the Lawndale SD, addressed the Committee and stated her opposition to the petition.
Mr. Maynard Law, Board President briefed the Committee on the makeup of ABC USD.

Dr. Mary Sieu, the Superintendent of ABC USD, addressed the Committee. She gave a Power Point presentation that explained the process ABC USD used to determine its adopted trustee area maps.

Dr. Allison Deegan presented the feasibility study regarding the ABC USD prepared by staff. Dr. Deegan reported on the key sections of the report.

Mr. Warren Kinsler, counsel for ABC USD, addressed the Committee regarding the State Board of Education waiver and also the election process with the new trustee areas.

Mr. Frank Ogaz inquired about the process of notifying the public of the public hearings.

Dr. Sieu gave a report of methods used to reach the community.

Ms. Joan Jakubowski made a motion to approve the petition to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting in the ABC USD, seconded by Mr. Ogaz. All in favor.

Mr. Crafton reported that a valid petition was received to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting within the Eastside Union SD.

Dr. Mark Marshall, the Superintendent of the Eastside Union SD, addressed the Committee and introduced Mr. Douglas Johnson, from National Demographics, who gave a Power Point presentation with regards to Eastside Union SD.

Dr. Marshall addressed the Committee and gave a brief overview of the process used to determine the establishment of trustee areas and trustee area voting in the Eastside Union SD.

Ms. Peggy Foster, and Ms. Doretha Thompson, both Eastside Union SD Board Members, addressed the Committee and stated their support for Eastside Union SD in the process.

Ms. Tiffany Tran, counsel for Eastside Union SD, addressed the Committee and reiterated what Dr. Marshall said.

Dr. Marshall addressed the Committee once again, this time thanking Dr. Deegan for her guidance through this process.

Ms. Jakubowski made a motion to approve the petition to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting in the Eastside Union SD according to the map approved. Seconded by Mr. Nunez. All in favor.
Mr. Eric Hass gave an update on some of the districts that are dealing with CVRA issues. Mr. Hass directed the Committee to newspaper articles in their folders.

Dr. Deegan informed the Committee that there is going to be a CVRA forum in the near future. This will serve as an outreach to board members and districts in late February or early March. Once we establish a date we will have an outline for the County Committee on how they will be involved in the forum.

Mr. Crafton stated that the website continues to be updated.

Mr. Bostrom instructed Mr. Joel Peterson and Mr. AJ Willmer to determine a date to meet to discuss the County Committee policies.

No updates to report.

No updates to report.

Staff adjourned to closed session at 12:15 p.m.

Staff reconvened to open session at 12:48 p.m.

Mr. Crafton reminded the Committee about their mileage forms. Mr. Bostrom suggested that ABC USD be acknowledge for a job well done.

Meeting was adjourned at 12:55 p.m.
NOTICE OF FILING

City of Calabasas
City of Hidden Hills
Los Angeles County Supervisor Sheila Kuehl
Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office
Consolidated Fire Protection District
Los Angeles County West Vector & Vector-Borne Control District
Las Virgenes Unified School District
Los Angeles County Office of Education
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District
Resource Conservation District of the Santa Monica Mountains

LAFCO File: Reorganization No. 2014-03 to the City of Calabasas

Pursuant to Government Code Section 56658, notice is hereby given that an application for the proposed reorganization listed above has been received by the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO). The application proposes to annex approximately 164.00± acres of uninhabited territory to the City of Calabasas and annex approximately 12.00± of uninhabited territory to the City of Hidden Hills. The affected territory is located north of intersection of Highway 101 Ventura Freeway and Parkway Calabasas, in Los Angeles County unincorporated territory adjacent to the City of Calabasas and Hidden Hills.

The proposal application, map, and legal description are attached for your review. Please submit comments, if any, to the LAFCO office by February 9, 2015.

If you have any questions about this proposal, please contact this office at (626)204-6500.

Date: January 8, 2015

Doug Dorado
Government Analyst

Enc.
**LAFCO Proposal Designation No:** Reorganization No. 2014-03

### Affected Agencies (Cities and/or Special Districts)

1. City of Calabasas
2. City of Hidden Hills
3. County of Los Angeles
4. 

### Related Jurisdictional Changes (Annexation, Detachment, Sphere of Influence Amendment, etc.)

1. Sphere of Influence Amendment and Annexation
2. Sphere of Influence Amendment and Annexation
3. Detachment
4. 

**Proposal Initiated By:** ✓ Resolution □ Landowner/Registered Voter Petition

**Applicant:** City of Calabasas

**Title:**

**City/District/Chief Petitioner:**

**Address:** 100 Civic Center Way

**City:** Calabasas **State:** CA **Zip Code:** 91301

**Designated Contact Person:** Tom Bartlett **Telephone:** 818-224-1703

**E-Mail Address:** tbartlett@cityofcalabasas.com
By submitting this Application to Initiate Proceedings, the applicant acknowledges receipt of the "Instruction for Filing Application for Change of Organization/Reorganization/Special Reorganization" and agrees to be bound by same, including, but not limited to the provisions contained therein regarding filing and processing fees, and defense and indemnification of the Commission.

INDEMNIFICATION / LEGAL DEFENSE

As a condition of any LAFCO approval, the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless LAFCO and its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against LAFCO or its agents, officers, and employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of LAFCO concerning the processing of the proposal or any action relating to, or arising out of, such approval. At the discretion of the Executive Officer, a deposit of funds by the applicant may be required in an amount sufficient to cover the anticipated litigation costs.

SIGNATURE: [Signature] DATE: 12/10/14

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL LOCATION:

Describe the location of the proposal area including major street and highways that border the project area.

Approximately 176 acres of territory located between the incorporated cities of Hidden Hills and Calabasas, and immediately north of, and adjacent to, the Ventura Freeway (Highway 101). The northern and western limits of the territory are delineated by property boundaries largely coinciding with the ridge tops of steep hills -- there are no roadways to mark these boundaries.

TOTAL ACREAGE OR SQUARE MILES OF TERRITORY:

176 acres

Is the proposal area inhabited (having 12 or more registered voters residing within the territory)?

☑ Yes
☐ No
GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

1. PROPOSAL AREA: Give a detailed description of the proposal area and what it consists of (e.g. Existing commercial corridors, residential communities, existing redevelopment area, public utility right-of-way, relevant structures, etc.)

The proposed annexation areas (164 acres to be annexed to the City of Calabasas, and 12 acres to be annexed to the City of Hidden Hills) are almost entirely developed, with only a handful of undeveloped properties. Existing land uses include 25 single-family homes, approximately 40 commercial buildings (mostly office and warehouse/light industrial uses, and a few retail uses), a pet cemetery, a potable water system reservoir (tank), and an equestrian care and riding facility. The public roadways providing access to this area include Parkway Calabasas (part), Ventura Boulevard, and Craftsman Road (part). Privately owned and maintained roadways also serve the area, including Old Scandia Lane, Craftsman Road (part), Douglas Fir Road, and Parkway Calabasas (part). Approximately 17 acres of CalTrans right-of-way associated with Highway 101 also comprises a portion of the proposed annexation area.

2. TOPOGRAPHY: Describe the topography, physical features, (rivers, drainage basins, etc.) and natural boundaries of the subject territory.

The area is hilly with the developed land uses concentrated mostly along the freeway and in the lower areas, and steeper hillsides with sparse or no development encompassing the outer perimeters to the east, north, and west. Storm drainage naturally flows from the northwest to the southeast through the area, and is collected and conveyed through a series of storm drains maintained by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District.

POPULATION AND HOUSING

1. What is the current population of the subject territory?  
   Approximately 80 persons

2. If the proposal includes development, what is the estimated population of the proposed area?  
   No change -- 80 persons

3. Number of registered voters within the proposed territory.  
   63

4. Number of landowners within the proposed territory.  
   64

5. What is the proximity of the subject territory to other populated areas?

The subject area abuts the City of Calabasas to the south (population 23,802), and the City of Hidden Hills to the north (population 1,887). The City of Los Angeles is located immediately to the east, within 1/4 mile (population 3,863,839).
6. What is the likelihood of significant growth in the area; and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas within the next ten years?

No likelihood of significant short-term growth (e.g., within the next ten years) because the area is generally built-out, and there are no pending projects in the territory. Periodic redevelopment of some properties can be expected over time, however.

7. Number and type of existing dwelling units:

Twenty-five single-family homes are located within the territory. Twenty-two of the homes are on properties located within the area to be annexed to the City of Calabasas, and three of the homes are located on properties within the area to be annexed to the City of Hidden Hills.

8. Give a summary of regional housing needs and to what extent will the proposal assist in achieving its fair share of regional housing needs as determined by SCAG?

The County of Los Angeles has not relied on this area for future housing production, and no properties within the area have been identified as a future housing site for purposes of meeting RHNA. Nonetheless, the City of Calabasas is prepared to negotiate with Los Angeles County regarding future RHNA as it may relate to this area.

9. To what extent will this proposal promote “environmental justice” (fair treatment of people of all races, cultures and income) with respect to the location of public facilities and provision of public services?

Any future projects in the proposed annexation territory which would be processed by the City of Calabasas and/or the City of Hidden Hills will promote fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income.

LAND USE AND ZONING

1. What is the assessed land valuation of the subject territory (give source and date of information)?

Per L. A. Co. Assessor, approx. $169,000,000 would annex to Calabasas; $5,000,000 to Hidden Hills (2014)

2. What is the current land use and zoning designation within the subject area?

Commercial office uses, limited warehouse and light manufacturing uses, some commercial retail, public utility (a water storage tank), a pet cemetery, single-family residential (25 S-F homes), and equestrian facilities (animal boarding and exercising).

3. What is the proposed planned land use of the subject area?

The area is nearly entirely developed, and the existing land uses will remain as-is for the foreseeable future. Long-term, per the Calabasas 2030 General Plan, redevelopment of properties within the Calabasas area will eventually include mixed-use commercial and residential.
4. Describe any proposed change in land use and zoning as a result of this proposal (including, if applicable, pre-zoning by an affected city).

The 12 acres proposed to annex into Hidden Hills will be pre-zoned "R-A-S", a large lot single-family residential zoning that is consistent with surrounding zoning. For properties anticipated to annex to Calabasas: "Residential Single-Family" zoning is anticipated for the existing single-family properties in the Hidden Hills West community, and "Commercial Mixed-Use" for most of the existing commercial properties; except for: "Commercial-Office" zoning for the Valley Crest headquarters property and other office properties nearby; "Commercial Limited" for the existing pet cemetery, and "Public Facility" for the existing water tank site. The planned pre-zonings are consistent with existing and surrounding land uses and applicable adopted General Plans.

5. What is the land use in the surrounding area? Be specific.


6. If annexation to a city is involved as a part of this proposal, what is the city's general plan designation for the area?

Commercial Mixed-Use, Rural Residential, Open Space, and Business - Business Park.

7. Is the proposal consistent with city or county general plans, specific plans, and or other adopted land use policies?

Yes.

8. Will this proposal result in development of property now or in the near future? Describe the type of development proposed (type of business or industry, single-family or multi-family residential, etc., and number of units or facilities).

Nearly all of the proposed annexation area is developed, and only a couple of properties remain undeveloped. Potential new development (or redevelopment) would occur consistent with the General Plan designations on a project-by-project basis as individual property owners would determine and/or pursue. No specific projects are currently planned.

9. What effect would denial of this proposal have on the proposed development, if any?

Current zoning does not accommodate mixed-use projects, and does not anticipate future residential development; thus, no residential development would occur if the larger portion of the territory would not be annexed to Calabasas. For the 12 acres anticipated to annex to Hidden Hills, there would be no effect.
10. Is the subject territory currently within a redevelopment area or proposed to be included within a redevelopment project area upon completion of this proposal?

No part of the proposed annexation territory is within a redevelopment area, and no redevelopment area is proposed for any portion of the territory.

11. Are there any agricultural or open-space lands within the proposal area? What is the effect of this proposal on agricultural or open-space lands?

No. There are no agricultural or open space lands within the territory.

GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Explain in detail the reasons for this proposal and why it is necessary.

While this area already participates in the economic functions of the City by virtue of its proximity and connectivity (via Parkway Calabasas), it is effectively an isolated island wedged between Hidden Hills and Calabasas. The annexation will complete the northern end of the city where it abuts the neighboring City of Hidden Hills, consistent with the City's General Plan. Annexation will allow the area property owners and tenant businesses and the employees of those businesses to more fully participate in government affairs of the City and associated activities. Furthermore, the City will be able to more effectively and consistently manage land uses and development in this quadrant of the City through the application of uniform regulatory guidelines and standards, to include ridge line protections, hillside grading prohibitions and standards, scenic corridor protections, oak tree and oak woodlands protections, and night sky protections.

2. What will be the effect of the proposal and of alternative actions on the following: (Include the names of other local agencies having the authority to provide the same or similar services as those proposed.)

a. Adjacent areas:

Adjacent areas include the City of Los Angeles (east) and Highway 101 and unincorporated territory to the west. Highway 101 will be unaffected, and the County of Los Angeles will be similarly unaffected. The alternative action, which would be to not approve the proposed annexation, would likewise have no effect on adjacent areas.

b. Mutual social and economic interests:

The proposed annexation will not alter land uses nor the public facilities in the territory, and will therefore have no effect on social and economic interests of the area. The alternative action (non-annexation) will likewise have no effect.
c. The local government structure of the County:

The government structure of Los Angeles County will not be affected by this proposal. The areas to be annexed to Calabasas and to Hidden Hills totals approximately 157 acres, a minute fraction of territory among the more than 1.65 million acres of unincorporated territory under Los Angeles County jurisdiction.

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

"Government services" refers to governmental services and whether or not those services would be provided by the local agencies subject to the proposal. It includes public facilities necessary to provide those services.

1. Estimate the present cost and describe the adequacy of government services and controls in the area.

The area currently receives a range of municipal services, which will be continued and enhanced as a result of the annexation. Enhanced service levels will be funded by general City revenues. Inclusion in the City will increase opportunities for participation in local municipal activities by property owners. Costs & services associated with police and fire protection will be maintained similar to services currently provided under L.A. County oversight, but instead via City of Calabasas contracts with the L.A. County Sheriff and L.A. County Fire Departments. Public library and park services are already provided by Calabasas and will continue accordingly.

2. Estimate the probable future need for government services (including public facilities) or controls in the area.

As future additional development may occur for this area (no projects are currently planned), government service increases as may be necessary will be considered and evaluated in association with project development proposals. Land use regulation and control would be administered by the City of Calabasas for the 145 acre portion of the reorganized territory, while the City of Hidden Hills will do likewise for the four parcels (12 acres) which are proposed to annex to Hidden Hills.

3. If the proposal includes incorporation, formation, or annexation, what will be the effect of this proposal or exclusion and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the proposed area and adjacent areas?

Public safety services (police & fire) are provided via contract by the Los Angeles County Sheriff and the Los Angeles County Fire Departments, respectively, in both Hidden Hills and Calabasas, so there will be no change in service adequacy. Similarly, drainage systems will remain with Los Angeles County Flood Control. For most of the territory the operation and maintenance of public streets and roads will shift to City of Calabasas, as will solid waste and recycling services. The lone exception being the 12 acres slated to annex to Hidden Hills, for which any public streets & roads will transfer to the City of Hidden Hills. Service adequacy will be maintained without disruption throughout the transition period.
4. If, as a result of this proposal, increased service demand exceeds the existing capacity, describe what will be done by the service provider to increase capacity of services.

The annexation will not cause any increase in service demands, and consequently no need to increase service delivery capacities.

5. List any assessments, fees, or other charges to be levied as part of this proposal and or that may be levied in the near future.

None, except that the utility users tax (UUT) will increase to 5.0% from the current rate of 4.5%

SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

Provide the following information if the proposal requires a sphere of influence amendment.

1. Is the proposed area within the existing sphere of influence of the annexing agency?

   Yes [ ] Name of Agency "yes" for City of Hidden Hills; "no" for Calabasas [ ]  No [ ]

2. List any communities of social or economic interest within the proposed area or immediately adjacent. To what extent will any of those communities of interest be affected by the proposal?

   Other than the two annexing jurisdictions (Calabasas and Hidden Hills), the only other neighboring local government is the City of Los Angeles to the east. The proposed reorganization will not affect the City of Los Angeles in any way.

3. If the proposal includes a request for a city sphere of influence update, provide a written statement on whether an agreement on the sphere change between the city and the county was achieved. Please provide a copy of the written agreement pursuant to Government Code Section 56425(b).

   The proposed reorganization includes the request to modify the spheres of influence for both the City of Hidden Hills and the City of Calabasas. The SOI amendment request is being carried forward coincident to and associated with the proposed reorganization. Both cities are in agreement regarding the proposed SOI amendment (see letter of support from City of Hidden Hills.) As of the time this application is being filed no agreement has been reached with L.A County; however, the written agreement will be accomplished as part of other negotiations with County officials.
BONDED INDEBTNESS

1. Do the agencies whose boundaries are being changed have any existing bonded debt?

No. Neither the City of Hidden Hills nor the City of Calabasas have any existing bonded debt.

2. Will the proposal area be liable for payment of its fair share of this existing debt?

No.

3. To what extent will landowners within the proposal area be liable or remain liable for any existing indebtedness of the city or district?

None.

4. In the case of detachment requests, does the detaching agency propose that the subject territory continue to be liable for existing bonded debt?

No detachment is included as part of this request.

NOTIFICATION

1. List the name and address of any person(s), organization, community group, or agency known to you who may wish notification, or who may be opposed to this proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(none)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Provide the names and addresses of up to three persons who are to receive notice of hearing, staff report, and minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthony Coroalles</td>
<td>100 Civic Center Way, Calabasas, CA 91302</td>
<td>818-224-1606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Bartlett</td>
<td>100 Civic Center Way, Calabasas, CA 91302</td>
<td>818-224-1703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherie Paglia</td>
<td>6165 Spring Valley Rd., Hidden Hills, CA 91302</td>
<td>818-888-9261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPLICATION FOR CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION—ATTACHMENT “A”

Plan for Municipal Services

Pursuant to Government Code 56653, any local agency submitting an application for a change in organization must also submit a plan for providing services to the subject territory. Please provide information for provision of services. (*Special districts need only submit those pages of this application that apply.)

1. Describe how his proposal will result in the provision of new services and changes in existing services, including location from which such services are or will be provided, service level or capacity (i.e., sewer line capacity, average response time for emergency vehicles, etc.) and how services will be financed.

Fire and emergency services, flood control services, police services, and street lighting services are provided by the County of Los Angeles and these will not change. Solid waste services, public library services, parks & recreation services and road maintenance services are presently provided by the County of Los Angeles and these will transfer to the City of Calabasas (although for all intents & purposes library and park services to this territory already are provided by Calabasas). Water (potable and recycled) and wastewater services are provided by the Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, and these services will not be affected and will not change.

Fire and Emergency Services

1. Which fire protection agency currently provides fire protection and emergency medical service in the subject territory? Give a brief description of how this service is provided and to what extent.

The Los Angeles County Consolidated Fire Protection District currently provides fire protection and emergency medical services to the territory. The territory lies approximately 0.2 miles NORTH of L.A. County Fire Station No. 68 (located on Calabasas Road).

2. Is annexation to a County Fire Protection District part of this request? Yes ☐ No ☑

3. What agency will be providing services to the subject territory as a result of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

The Los Angeles County Consolidated Fire Protection District will continue to provide fire protection and emergency medical services to the territory because both the City of Calabasas and the City of Hidden Hills contract with Los Angeles County Fire Department for these services. No changes in fire protection services or emergency medical services are planned or anticipated because the area will be maintained generally as-is with slight changes to the land use and intensities of use.

4. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available? Area is already served.

5. Provide Information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax, developer fees, etc.).

Not applicable; no change is services.

6. Will there be any upgrading or transfer of facilities as a result of this request? Yes ☐ No ☑
If yes, please give details.

(N/A)

Flood Control Services

1. Give a brief description of how flood control service is currently provided and by which agency.

The flood control services are provided currently by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District. Services provided to the area include and are limited to local storm water collection and conveyance via standard storm drains, catch basins, and culverts. There are no regional flood control facilities in the area.

2. What agency will be providing flood control services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

No change to the service provider or the level of services.

3. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available?

No change to the service provider or the level of services.

4. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax, developer fees, etc.).

No change to the service provider or the level of services.

Library Services

1. Give a brief description of how this service is currently provided and by which agency.

The annexation territory is served by the Calabasas Public Library (approx. one-half mile to the south). The Calabasas Library provides a full range of services to persons working or residing in or near the annexation area. The Calabasas library is a local library.

2. What agency will be providing library services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

Library services will continue to be provided as-is using the existing library facility, with no change in service.
3. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available?立即更新
   Services are already provided. (No change in service)

4. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax developer fees, etc.).
   
   图书馆服务由一般城市收入支持，包括适用于服务提供的适用费用。

5. Will there be any upgrading or transfer of facilities as a result of this request? 
   
   Yes ☐ No ☑

   If yes, please give details.
   
   (N/A)

Park and Recreational Services

1. Give a brief description of how park and recreational service is currently provided and by which agency.

   地区落入洛杉矶县公园和娱乐部的管辖范围。无服务公园（本地或区域）位于十英里内，其中 Kermit Yarnell Park（开放空间保护区）位于西南方向，距离该城市三英里。公园的公园和娱乐服务由加利福尼亚市和哈迪公园公园管理局服务该地区居民现时。

2. What agency will be providing park and recreational services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that to be provided. Indicate any changes in service level.

   Calabasas市提供涵盖的社区服务部的广泛公众娱乐。由于已转移于社区公园设施、设施和项目中，这些公园及娱乐服务和利益将正常进行。相同的协议也适用于 Hidden Hills 公园设施的财产，以转移至 Hidden Hills。

3. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available? 立即更新

4. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax, developer fees, etc.).

   一般城市收入。

5. Will there be any upgrading or transfer of facilities as a result of this request? 
   
   Yes ☐ No ☑
If yes, please give details.

(N/A)

Police Services

1. Which police protection agency currently provides service to the subject territory? Provide a brief description of how this service is provided and to what extent.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff provides a full range of police protection services to the territory, including emergency response, crime prevention, patrols, and crime investigation and reporting services.

2. What agency will be providing law enforcement services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff will continue to provide the same level and range of police protection services through contracts with the City of Calabasas and the City of Hidden Hills. No change to the service provider or the level of services.

3. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available? Immediately upon annexation.

4. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax developer fees, etc.).

General City revenues.

5. Will there be any upgrading or transfer of facilities as a result of this request? □ Yes □ No

If yes, please give details.

(N/A)
Road Maintenance Services

1. Give a brief description of how road maintenance service is currently provided and by which agency.

The affected roadways include Parkway Calabasas, Ventura Blvd., Craftsman Rd., Douglas Fir Rd., and Old Scandia Rd. Roughly half of these roadways (totaling less than one mile) are public right-of-way maintained by Los Angeles County. Most of these roads do not have sidewalks. Within the proposed Hidden Hills annexation area Oldfield Road is a continuation of a roadway already within Hidden Hills, and it is maintained by the Hidden Hills HDA.

2. What agency will be providing services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

The City of Calabasas Public Works Department will provide roadway maintenance service for the affected segments of Parkway Calabasas, Ventura Blvd., and Craftsman Road upon annexation. There will be no changes to the service level; all existing street improvements and facilities will be maintained.

3. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available? Immediately upon annexation.

4. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax developer fees, etc.)

City of Calabasas Road Fund.

5. Provide information on any roads, facilities or capital improvements that will be transferred, as a result of this request.

The segment of Parkway Calabasas extending from the existing City of Calabasas boundary northward to the Hidden Hills West subdivision entrance (gate) inclusive of the street right-of-way, consisting of two vehicle travel lanes (one northbound and one southbound); curb and sidewalks. Also all public ROW segments of Ventura Blvd., and Craftsman Road, inclusive of curb & gutter, and sidewalks where provided.

Solid Waste Services

1. Give a brief description of how solid waste service is currently provided and by which agency.

Solid waste collection services in the affected territory are currently provided by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, through a franchise agreement with Waste Management/G. I. Industries (a private hauler), where the property owners contract with the private haulers.

2. What agency will be providing services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

Both the cities of Hidden Hills and Calabasas (via respective Public Works Departments) offer waste collection services through contracted private haulers. Waste Management / G. I. Industries is currently on contract to provide services in both municipalities; consequently, there will be no change in service providers or service levels.

3. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available? Immediately upon annexation.
4. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax developer fees, etc.).

Property owners pay the waste hauler(s) directly for the cost of service. (This is no change to the approach currently employed via L.A. County.)

Special Assessment District Services

1. Provide information on any special assessment districts within the subject territory. Give a description of services provided.

The annexation area does not include any Special Assessment Districts.

2. Will the subject territory be included in an assessment district and be subject to any new assessments upon approval of this request?

No new assessment districts will be created as part of this annexation proposal.

3. Provide information on any special charges, fees or taxes that will be levied as a result of approval of this request.

No special charges, fees, or taxes will be levied as a result of an approval of this annexation request.

Street Lighting Services

1. Give a brief description of how street lighting service is currently provided and by which agency.

Street lighting exists along Ventura Blvd. west of the Parkway Calabasas intersection; operation and maintenance services for the street lighting is provided through L.A. County street lighting district No. 1687.

2. What agency will be providing services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

The street lighting district will remain in place and will be annexed to the Calabasas Landscape & Lighting District. There will be no changes in service levels.

3. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax developer fees, etc.).

Services are presently funded by assessments against each parcel in the district; no change is planned.
Water Services

1. Is annexation of water service part of this request?  [ ] Yes  [X] No

   If yes, provide details.

   The Las Virgenes Municipal Water District provides water services (potable and recycled) to the annexation area and to the City of Calabasas; there will be no effect whatsoever to water service levels or administration as a result of this annexation.

2. Will this request result in an increase in water usage upon approval of this request or in future development of the proposal area? What are the projected needs and availability of water supplies?

   Water service demand and levels of water use will not increase upon approval of this request. Long-term future development will take place on a case-by-case basis consistent with the Calabasas 2030 General Plan which was reviewed by the Water District and determined to be consistent with the District's water service plans and capacities.

3. What agencies will be providing water service to the affected territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the capacity level and range of services to be provided and the approximate date in which those services will be made available.

   The water services provider (The Las Virgenes Municipal Water District) will not change, and the capacity and range of services provided by the Water District will likewise carry forward unchanged.

4. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax developer fees, etc.).

   No changes in service provider, level of services, or method of financing, which are primarily service charges.

Wastewater Services

1. Give a brief description of how wastewater service is currently provided and by which agency.

   The Las Virgenes Municipal Water District provides wastewater services to the annexation area and to the City of Calabasas; there will be no effect whatsoever to wastewater service levels or administration as a result of this annexation.

2. What agency will be providing services to the subject territory upon approval of this request? Provide a description of the level and range of services that are to be provided. Indicate if there are any changes in service level.

   No change to the service provider or the level of services.
3. What is the approximate date in which those services will be made available?  
   No change to the service provider or the level of services.

4. Is annexation to a County Sanitation District part of this request or a future request?  
   Yes  No
   If yes, provide details.
   (N/A)

5. Provide information on how those services will be financed (i.e. assessment district, general property tax developer fees, etc.)
   No changes in service provider, level of services, or method of financing, which are primarily service charges.

6. Will there be any upgrading or transfer of facilities as a result of this request?  
   Yes  No
   If yes, provide details.
   (N/A)
ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF CALABASAS

Beginning at the intersection of the centerline of Parkway Calabasas and the centerline of the Ventura Freeway (U S Highway 101) as shown on State of California Right of Way Map F2214 on file in the District Office of Caltrans, said point being on the northerly boundary of City of Calabasas Annexation;

Course 1  Thence, along said centerline of the Ventura Freeway, South 62°27'22" West, 30.91 feet to the beginning of a tangent curve concave northwesterly and having a radius of 5000.00 feet;

Course 2  Thence Southwesterly along said curve an arc length of 759.12 feet through a central angle of 8°41'56"

Course 3  Thence South 71°09'18" West, 1328.77 feet to the of westerly line of Section 22, Township 1 North, Range 17 West, S.B.M.;

Course 4  Thence leaving said centerline and along said westerly line, North 00°21'26" East, 593.91 feet to the most southerly corner of the land conveyed to the Las Virgenes Municipal Water District per document recorded April 1, 1963 as Instrument No. 1206 of Official Records of said County;

Course 5  thence leaving said westerly line and along the southwesterly line of last said land, North 49°38'02" West, 192.50 feet to an angle point;

Course 6  Thence along the westerly line of last said land, North 17°21'58" East, 178.00 feet; to an angle point;

Course 7  Thence along the northerly line of last said land, North 59°21'58" East, 108.00 feet to said westerly line of Section 22;

Course 8  Thence along said westerly line, North 00°38'02" West, 296.33 feet to the W ¼ corner of said Section 22, being an angle point in the generally southerly boundary line of the City of Hidden Hills, said point being shown on Tract No. 31719, Book 876, Pages 35 through 37 of Maps, Records of said County;

Course 9  Thence along said boundary line and along the westerly line of said Section 22 as shown on last said map, North 00°01'42" West, 1344.85 feet to the northwest corner of the Southwest ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of said Section 22;

Course 10  Thence continuing along said boundary line and along the northerly line of said Southwest ¼ of the Northwest ¼, South 89°54'18" East, 990.09 feet to the southeast corner of Tract No. 30263, Book 1371, Pages 72 through 78 of Maps, Records of said county;
Course 11  Thence leaving said boundary line, South 89°53'44" East, 329.78 feet to an angle point in said boundary line, said angle point being the southwesterly corner of Tract No. 054083, Map Book 1371, Pages 72 to 78, Records of said County and being an angle point in said boundary line;

Course 12  Thence along said boundary line and along the southerly line of last said tract, North 89°53'44" West, 990.18 feet to an angle point in said southerly line and said boundary line;

Course 13  Thence from said angle point, South 00°01'02" East, 166.00 feet to an angle point in said southerly line and boundary line;

Course 14  Thence along said southerly line and boundary line, North 89°56'44" East, 329.96 feet to the southeasterly corner of said tract and an angle point in said boundary line;

Course 15  Thence along the easterly line of said tract and said boundary line, North 00°01'08" West, 478.20 feet to the northwesterly corner of Lot 45 of Record of Survey filed in Book 65, Page 28 of Records of Survey of said county;

Thence along the northerly and northeasterly lines of said Lot 45 the following courses:

Course 16  North 89°58'52" East, 190.00 feet;

Course 17  South 63°05'05" East, 609.39 feet;

Course 18  South 27°51'16" East, 528.91 feet to the most southerly and westerly corner of that certain parcel labeled as "Not a Part of this Division" on Parcel Map No. 2229, Book 33, Pages 69 and 70 of Parcel Maps, Records of said county;

Course 19  Thence along the southeasterly line of last said parcel, North 62°08'54" East, 249.53 feet to an angle point;

Course 20  Thence continuing along the southwesterly line of said parcel and its southeasterly prolongation and city boundary line, South 27°51'06" East, 370.01 feet to said northerly right of way line of the Ventura Freeway;

Course 21  Thence along said northerly freeway line and continuing along said boundary line, North 62°27'22" East, 658.24 feet;

Course 22  Thence continuing along said northerly freeway line and city boundary line, North 61°55'24" East, 405.24 feet;
Course 23  Thence continuing along said northerly freeway line and city boundary line, North 64°34'57" East, 350.02 feet to the northwesterly boundary line of the City of Los Angeles;

Course 24  Thence along said boundary line of the City of Los Angeles, South 0°05'10" East, 115.64 feet to said centerline of the Ventura Freeway

Course 25  Thence along said centerline, South 65°42'44" West, 28.52 feet to the beginning of a curve concave southeasterly and having a radius of 10000.00 feet;

Course 26  Thence southwesterly along said curve and centerline an arc length of 674.33 feet through a central angle of 3°51'49";

Course 27  Thence continuing along said centerline South 61°50'44" West, 1328.14 feet;

Course 28  Thence continuing along said centerline, South 62°00'44" West, 935.21 feet;

Course 29  Thence continuing along said centerline, South 62°00'52" West, 750.47 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Contains 164 Acres, more or less.

Prepared under my supervision:

David O. Knell  PLS 5301     Date
ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS

AREA 1

Beginning at the southwesterly corner of the East 1/2 of the East 1/2 of the Northwest 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 22, Township 1 North, Range 17 West, S.B.M., as shown on Tract No. 31719, Book 876, Pages 35 through 37 of Maps, Records of said County, said point being an angle point in the existing City Boundary of the City of Hidden Hills; thence along the westerly line of said land and said existing City Boundary:

L1: North 0°01'47" West, 1117.85 feet to a point distant 225.40 feet southerly of the northwesterly corner of said land;

L2: Thence continuing along said existing City Boundary, South 67°39'22" East, 356.89 feet to the westerly boundary of said land;

L3: Thence continuing along said existing City Boundary, South 0°01'49" East, 982.72 feet to the southeasterly corner of said land;

L4: Thence continuing along said existing City Boundary, North 89°54'18" West, 330.03 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Contains 8 Acres, more or less

AREA 2

Beginning at the most southerly corner of Parcel 3 of Parcel Map No. 2229, Book 33, Pages 68 and 69 of Parcel Maps, Records of said County, said point being an angle point in the existing City Boundary of the City of Hidden Hills; said corner also being the most westerly corner of that certain parcel shown as "Not a Part of this division" on said Parcel Map; thence along the various courses of last said parcel and along the existing City Boundary the following courses:

L5: North 65°20'50" East, 799.94 feet;

L6: South 06°29'18" West, 307.93 feet;

L7: South 33°10'04" West, 40.42 feet;

L8: South 62°08'54" West, 61.93 feet;

L9: South 33°10'04" West, 317.98 feet
L10   Thence leaving said City Boundary and continuing along the various courses of said "Not a Part of this Division" parcel, North 27°51'06" West, 323.65 feet;

L11   Thence South 62°08'54" West, 249.53 feet;

L12:   Thence North 27°51'06" West, 148.91 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Contains 4 Acres, more or less

Prepared under my supervision:

__________________________________________
David O. Knell  PLS 5301    Date
Summary of Los Angeles Unified School District Reorganization Proposals

February 2015

The following is a summary of school district reorganization proposals affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District (USD) that were at various stages in the school district organization process as of January 21, 2015.

RECENT INQUIRIES REGARDING REORGANIZATION (within the last two years)

Formation Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None

Transfer of Territory Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None
Summary of Los Angeles County School District Reorganization Proposals
(Excluding those affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District)

February 2015

The following is a summary of school district reorganization proposals [exclusive of those affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District (USD) that are at various stages in the school district reorganization process as of January 23, 2015.]

PETITION TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS AND TRUSTEE AREA VOTING WITHIN THE SULPHUR SPRINGS UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT (SD)

On November 6, 2014, the Sulphur Springs Union SD submitted a petition to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting. It was presented to the County Committee on January 7, 2015. Staff is working with the district to schedule a public hearing, after which a feasibility study will be conducted and presented to the County Committee at a future meeting.

*Status: Public hearing being scheduled, feasibility study to follow
Status Date: January 21, 2015

PETITION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES FROM FIVE TO SEVEN, AND TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS AND TRUSTEE AREA VOTING, WITHIN THE POMONA USD

On January 27, 2014, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §5019 to increase the number of trustees from five to seven, to establish trustee areas and require trustee area voting within the Pomona USD. The request was submitted by chief petitioner Mr. John Mendoza. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine its legal compliance regarding format and content. On February 6, 2014, County Counsel deemed the petition sufficient. Staff returned the petition to the chief petitioner on February 11, 2014, for circulation.

Please note that this is a separate petition, distinct from the other petitions requested by Mr. Mendoza, and requests some of the same changes within the Pomona USD (the addition of two governing board members and the creation of trustee areas). It was submitted under EC §5019(c) and, based on the number of registered voters in the Pomona USD, requires valid signatures from at least 500 registered voters within the petition area. If valid and certified by
the County Committee, this petition would trigger a feasibility study, public hearing, and
ultimately a vote by the County Committee.

On September 15, 2014, the chief petitioner submitted signed petitions for review. On
September 16, 2014, staff conveyed the signed petitions to the Registrar-Recorder for signature
verification. On October 9, 2014, staff received notice from the Registrar-Recorder, affirming
that the petition contained enough signatures to move forward.

The petition was presented to the County Committee on January 7, 2015. Staff is working
with the district to schedule a public hearing, after which a feasibility study will be conducted
and presented to the County Committee at a future meeting.

*Status: Public hearing being scheduled, feasibility study to follow
Status Date: January 21, 2015

PETITION TO TRANSFER TERRITORY FROM THE CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH
SCHOOL DISTRICT (HSD) AND LAWNDALE SD TO THE WISEBURN USD

On May 15, 2014, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §35700, to transfer
territory from the Centinela Valley Union HSD and the Lawndale SD to the Wiseburn USD. The
request was submitted by chief petitioners Ms. Shavonda Webber-Christmas and
Mr. Bill Magoon. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine its legal
compliance regarding format and content. On June 20, 2014, County Counsel deemed the
petition sufficient. Staff returned the petition to the chief petitioners on June 23, 2014.

On October 15, 2014, the chief petitioners submitted signed petitions for review. On
October 15, 2014, staff conveyed the signed petitions to the Registrar-Recorder for signature
verification. On November 6, 2014, staff received notice from the Registrar-Recorder that there
were insufficient signatures to move the petition forward. Staff notified the chief petitioners,
who elected to gather additional signatures. On December 4, 2014, the chief petitioners
submitted additional signatures, which were submitted to the Registrar-Recorder on December
5, 2014 for signature verification. On December 22, 2014, the Registrar Recorder notified
staff that the additional signatures were validated, and the petition did have sufficient
signatures to move forward.

The petition was presented to the County Committee on January 7, 2015. Staff is working
with the district to schedule a public hearing, tentatively scheduled for March 2, 2015, after
which a feasibility study will be conducted and presented to the County Committee at a future
meeting.

*Status: Public hearing being scheduled, feasibility study to follow
Status Date: January 21, 2015
PETITION TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS AND TRUSTEE AREA VOTING WITHIN THE ABC USD

On May 13, 2014, the ABC USD submitted a petition to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting within the district. This petition was presented to the County Committee at its June 4, 2014 meeting. On September 22, 2014, the County Committee held a public hearing on this petition in the ABC USD. A feasibility study on this petition was presented to the County Committee at its January 7, 2015 meeting, after which the committee approved the petition. Staff is working with the district to convey its electronic mapping information to the Registrar-Recorder so that trustee areas may be implemented by the next governing board election.

*Status: Petition approved January 7, 2015, new trustee areas being implemented.
Status Date: January 21, 2015

PETITION TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS AND TRUSTEE AREA VOTING WITHIN THE EASTSIDE UNION SD

On July 22, 2014, the Eastside Union SD submitted a petition to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting within the district. This petition was presented to the County Committee at its September 3, 2014 meeting. On October 15, 2014, the County Committee held a public hearing on this petition in the Eastside Union SD. A feasibility study on this petition was presented to the County Committee at its January 7, 2015 meeting, after which the committee approved the petition. Staff is working with the district to convey its electronic mapping information to the Registrar-Recorder so that trustee areas may be implemented by the next governing board election.

*Status: Petition approved January 7, 2015, new trustee areas being implemented.
Status Date: January 21, 2015

PETITION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES FROM FIVE TO SEVEN, AND TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS AND TRUSTEE AREA VOTING WITHIN THE POMONA USD

On June 6, 2012, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §5019 and §5020 to increase the number of trustees from five to seven, to establish trustee areas, and to require trustee area voting within the Pomona USD. The request was submitted by chief petitioner, Mr. John Mendoza. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine the legal compliance of format and content. On June 19, 2012, County Counsel deemed the petition sufficient; staff returned the petition to the chief petitioner on June 20, 2012, for circulation.

In August of 2014, staff confirmed that petitioner is still interested in collecting signatures on this petition.

Status: Petition in circulation.
Status Date: June 20, 2012
FORMATION—ALTADENA USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PASADENA USD)

On January 17, 2006, LACOE received a request for a petition from chief petitioners Ms. Maurice Morse, Ms. Shirlee Smith, and Mr. Bruce Wasson, three community members who are residents of the area known as Altadena. The chief petitioners want to form an Altadena USD from territory within the boundaries of the Pasadena USD. The petition request was returned to the chief petitioners on January 20, 2006, because it lacked an adequate description of the area pursuant to EC §35700.3.

On February 10, 2006, LACOE received a revised request for a petition. Staff reviewed the request and forwarded a draft petition to County Counsel on February 22, 2006, for a legal compliance review regarding format and content. We received notification on March 6, 2006, from County Counsel informing us that the draft petition was legally acceptable.

On March 7, 2006, staff forwarded the draft petition to the Registrar-Recorder for verification that the description of the proposed boundaries of the Altadena USD was sufficiently clear (so that registered voters residing within the proposed petition area could be identified with specificity). The Registrar-Recorder confirmed that the description was sufficient on March 10, 2006.

The petition was mailed to the chief petitioners on March 14, 2006, for circulation within the petition area. The Registrar-Recorder estimated the chief petitioners will need to collect approximately 7,000 valid signatures in order to meet the criteria set forth in EC §35700(a).

On September 23, 2010, chief petitioners delivered signed petitions to LACOE. Staff submitted the petitions to the Registrar-Recorder on September 27, 2010, for signature verification. On October 22, 2010, the Registrar-Recorder notified staff that there were insufficient valid signatures (less than the required 25 percent of the registered voters within the petition area). Staff notified the chief petitioners of the insufficiency, and at Mr. Wasson’s request, returned the petitions to the Registrar-Recorder for a signature audit. Staff also advised the chief petitioner regarding the collection of additional signatures. Upon notification by the Registrar-Recorder of a sufficient number of valid signatures, staff will present the petition to the County Committee at the next regular meeting.

On January 4, 2011, staff conferred with a representative from the Registrar-Recorder’s office, who informed us that no audit of petition signatures had been done yet, and they clarified the cost of signature verification. On February 15 and March 1, 2011, staffs contacted the Registrar-Recorder and were informed that the signature audit had still not been done. On May 12, 2011, staff from the Registrar-Recorder’s office advised LACOE that an audit of the petition’s signatures was underway. On November 28, 2011, the chief petitioner Mr. Wasson
notified LACOE of the death of one of the co-chief petitioners, Ms. Morse. Mr. Wasson stated that another chief petitioner would not be named.

In August of 2014, staff confirmed that petitioner is still interested in collecting additional signatures.

**Status:** Petition insufficient; chief petitioners may gather additional signatures.
**Status Date:** December 5, 2011

**PETITION TO INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES FROM FIVE TO SEVEN AND TO ESTABLISH TRUSTEE AREAS WITHIN THE POMONA USD**

On July 13, 2009, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §5019 and §5020 to increase the number of trustees from five to seven and to establish trustee areas within the Pomona USD. The request was submitted by chief petitioner Mr. Mendoza. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine its legal compliance regarding format and content. On August 7, 2009, County Counsel deemed the petition sufficient. Staff returned the petition to the chief petitioner on August 11, 2009, for circulation.

Please note that this is a separate petition, distinct from the other petitions requested by Mr. Mendoza, and requests some of the same changes within the Pomona USD (the addition of two governing board members and the creation of trustee areas). It was submitted under EC §5019 and §5020 and requires valid signatures from ten percent of the registered voters within the petition area (approximately 7,000 signatures in the case of the Pomona USD). If valid and certified by the County Committee, this petition would trigger a ballot initiative (as opposed to a reference report and vote by the County Committee).

In August of 2014, staff confirmed that petitioner is still interested in collecting signatures on this petition.

**Status:** Petition in circulation.
**Status Date:** August 20, 2009

**FORMATION—MALIBU USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE SANTA MONICA-MALIBU USD)**

**Status:** Petition currently in circulation.
**Status Date:** February 21, 2008

**FORMATION—LA MIRADA USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE NORWALK – LA MIRADA USD)**

**Status:** Petition in circulation.
**Status Date:** March 20, 2007
Unification Proposals/Last Activity Date

- Malibu USD (Santa Monica-Malibu USD)/November 2011

Transfer of Territory Proposals/Last Activity Date

- Glendale USD to La Canada USD/December 2014
- Pasadena USD to La Canada USD/April 2013
- Temple City USD to Arcadia USD/March 2012

Formation Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None

Trustee Areas and Governing Board Size/Last Activity Date

- Lancaster SD / November 2014
- William S. Hart Union High SD / December 2014

* = indicates activity since last meeting

This document was prepared by staff to the County Committee.