June 24, 2015

TO: Members of the Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee)

FROM: Keith D. Crafton, Secretary
        County Committee

SUBJECT: Regular Meeting of the County Committee-
          Wednesday, July 1, 2015

The next regular meeting of the County Committee will be held at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, July 1, 2015, in the Board Room of the Los Angeles County Office of Education, located at 9300 Imperial Highway in Downey. Reserved parking spaces will be available on the east side of the building for County Committee members.

Attached is the agenda for the meeting of July 1, 2015.

If you have any questions, please call me at (562) 922-6144.

KDC/EH:ah
Attachments
AGENDA

LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
(COUNTY COMMITTEE)

Regular Meeting

Los Angeles County Office of Education
Board Room
July 1, 2015
9:30 a.m.

I  Information
D  Discussion
A  Action

I.  CALL TO ORDER – Chairperson Mr. Frank Bostrom

II.  FLAG SALUTE – Mr. Bostrom

III.  APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES – Mr. Bostrom  I, D, A

    The minutes of the special meeting of the County Committee, held on May 19, 2015, will be submitted for approval. (Enclosure)

IV.  PRESENTATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC – Secretary  I, D, A
    Mr. Keith Crafton

    Any persons present desiring to address the County Committee on any proper matter may do so at this time. (Form to be completed and submitted to the secretary)
V. COMMUNICATIONS – Secretary Crafton

Informational Correspondence

- A June 16, 2015, Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) Notice of Filing regarding Annexation No. 1068 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (Attachment 1)

- A June 16, 2015, LAFCO Notice of Filing regarding Annexation No. 1069 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (Attachment 2)

- A June 16, 2015, LAFCO Notice of Filing regarding Annexation No. 1073 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (Attachment 3)

- A June 16, 2015, LAFCO Notice of Filing regarding Annexation No. 1075 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (Attachment 4)

- A June 16, 2015, LAFCO Notice of Filing regarding Annexation No. 1076 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County (Attachment 5)

VI. PETITION TO TRANSFER TERRITORY FROM THE LAWNDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT (SD) AND THE CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT (HSD) TO THE WISEBURN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (USD) – Secretary Crafton

The County Committee will hear presentations from the petitioners, districts, and the public on the petition to transfer territory from the Lawndale SD and Centinela Valley Union HSD to the Wiseburn USD. The Secretary and staff will then present a feasibility study, after which the Committee may vote on the matter. (Attachment 6)

VII. UPDATE ON THE CALIFORNIA VOTING RIGHTS ACT (CVRA) AND TRUSTEE AREA ISSUES – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary and staff will provide an update on CVRA issues and activities in Los Angeles County and statewide.
VIII. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – Secretary Crafton

The secretary will provide an update on current legislation and discuss the legislative advocacy process. (Attachment 7)

IX. UPDATE ON COUNTY COMMITTEE WEBSITE – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary and staff will provide an update on the County Committee website.

X. UPDATE ON REVIEW OF COUNTY COMMITTEE POLICIES – Mr. Bostrom

The Chairperson will request a report from the County Committee policy review subcommittee on their recommendation on amending the appointment policy. (Attachment 8)

XI. UPDATE ON LOS ANGELES USD REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will provide an update on school district reorganization proposals affecting the Los Angeles USD. (“Summary of Los Angeles USD Reorganization Proposals”). (Attachment 9)

XII. UPDATE ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS, EXCLUDING THOSE AFFECTING THE LOS ANGELES USD – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will provide an update on school district reorganization proposals affecting Los Angeles County school and community college districts, other than the Los Angeles USD. (“Summary of Los Angeles County School District Reorganization Proposals [excluding those affecting the Los Angeles USD]”). (Attachment 10)

XIII. ADDITIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, CONCERNS, OR ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA

XIV. ADJOURNMENT
The Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee) met on Tuesday, May 19, 2015, at the Newhall School District in Santa Clarita, CA. The meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m. by Mr. Frank Bostrom.

Members Present
- Frank Bostrom
- Maria Calix
- Nicole Drapkin
- Ted Edmiston
- Frank Ogaz
- Suzan Solomon
- AJ Willmer

Members Absent
- Owen Griffith
- Joan Jakubowski
- John Nunez
- Joel Peterson

Staff Present
- Keith D. Crafton, Secretary
- Allison Deegan, Staff
- Eric Hass, Staff

Mr. Frank Bostrom called the meeting to order.

Ms. Nicole Drapkin was sworn in as the County Committee Member representing the 3rd Supervisorial District.

It was MOVED by Dr. Ted Edmiston and SECONDED by Ms. Suzan Solomon that the minutes of the regular meeting held on May 6, 2015 be approved. Motion carried.

Secretary Crafton stated that there were no presentations from the public.

Approval of the Regular Meeting Minutes of May 6, 2015

Presentations From the Public
Dr. Allison Deegan presented the feasibility report for the Newhall School District’s petition to establish trustee areas and trustee area voting.

Dr. Ted Edmiston made motion to vote on the Petition to Establish Trustee Areas and Trustee Area Voting and also to vote on the map, seconded by Ms. Maria Calix.

The Petition to Establish Trustee Areas and Trustee Area Voting passed with a unanimous vote (6-0), and one abstention.

The map presented by the Newhall School District was adopted by a (6-0) vote with one abstention.

Secretary Crafton informed the Committee there is a chance we will not be holding a June 3, 2015, County Committee Meeting.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:42 p.m.
NOTICE OF FILING

Los Angeles County Supervisor (Fifth District)
Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office
Consolidated Fire Protection District
Los Angeles County Office of Education
United States Department of the Interior-Bureau of Reclamation
City of Santa Clarita
Castaic Lake Water Agency
Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District
Antelope Valley Resource Conservation District
Sulphur Springs Union School District
William S. Hart Union School District

LAFCO File: Annexation No. 1068 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

Pursuant to Government Code Section 56658, notice is hereby given that an application for the proposed annexation listed above has been received by the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO). The application proposes to annex approximately 2.51± acres of uninhabited territory to the City of Santa Clarita. The affected territory is generally located on Sand Canyon Road approximately 450 feet north of Live Oak Springs Canyon Road, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

The proposal application, map, and legal description are attached for your review. Please submit comments, if any, to the LAFCO office by July 16, 2015.

Pursuant to Government Code section 56662(a) the Commission may make determinations upon the proposed annexation without notice and hearing and may waive protest hearing if the affected territory is uninhabited, no affected local agency has submitted a written demand for notice and hearing during the 10-days following this notice, and satisfactory proof has been provided to LAFCO that all the landowners within the affected territory have given their written consent to the proposed annexation.

If you have any questions about this proposal, please contact this office at (626)204-6500.

Date: June 16, 2015

Amber De La Torre
Government Analyst

Enc.
9. What effect would denial of this proposal have on the proposed development, if any?

Denial would prevent said territory from obtaining off-site sewage disposal services from the Sanitation District. There are no other local agencies providing off-site sewage disposal services. Therefore, property owners can request permission from their local jurisdiction to construct a septic system.

10. Is the subject territory currently within a redevelopment area or proposed to be included within a redevelopment project area upon completion of this proposal?

No

11. Are there any agricultural or open space lands within the proposal area? What is the effect of this proposal on agricultural or open space lands?

No

GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Explain in detail the reasons for this proposal and why it is necessary.

All of the owners of real properties within the territory proposed to be annexed have requested, in writing, that their properties be annexed to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District so that said district can provide off-site sewage disposal service to said properties.

2. What will be the effect of the proposal and of alternative actions on the following: (Include the names of other local agencies having the authority to provide the same or similar services as those proposed.)

   a. Adjacent areas:
      N/A
   b. Mutual social and economic interests:
      N/A
   c. The local government structure of the County:
      No effect.

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

"Government services" refers to governmental services and whether or not those services would be provided by the local agencies subject to the proposal. It includes public facilities necessary to provide those services.

1. Estimate the present cost and describe the adequacy of government services and controls in the area.

   The wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the Santa Clarita Valley Joint Sewerage System (SCVJSS), which is comprised of the Saugus and Valencia Water Reclamation Plants. The SCVJSS has a design capacity of 28.1 mgd and currently processes an average flow of 19.9 mgd. The District's sewerage facilities have adequate capacity to collect, treat, and dispose of the wastewater to be generated by the subject territory.

2. Estimate the probable future need for government services (including public facilities) or controls in the area:

   Although the present area is not currently serviced by the District, the area was included in the future service area that might be served by the District. The District’s future wastewater management needs were addressed in the 2015 Santa Clarita Joint Sewerage System Facilities Plan and EIR.
3. If the proposal includes incorporation, formation, or annexation, what will be the effect of this proposal or exclusion and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the proposed area and adjacent areas?

N/A

4. If, as a result of this proposal, increased service demand exceeds the existing capacity, describe what will be done by the service provider to increase capacity of services.

The current permitted capacity of the SCVJSS is 28.1 mgd. On January 29, 1998, the Boards of Directors of Districts Nos. 26 and 32 (the two Districts that subsequently consolidated to form Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District) approved the 2015 Plan and certified the associated EIR. The 2015 Plan addresses the sewerage needs of the SCVJSS service area through the year 2015 and the services planned to meet those needs. The 2015 Plan allows the capacity of the SCVJSS to increase to 34.1 mgd by 2015.

5. List any assessments, fees, or other charges to be levied as part of this proposal and or that may be levied in the near future.

The property owner will be paying a service charge for the Districts services.

SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

Provide the following information if the proposal requires a sphere of influence amendment.

1. Is the proposed area within the existing sphere of influence of the annexing agency?

   Yes [X] Name of Agency: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County No [ ]

2. List any communities of social or economic interest within the proposed area or immediately adjacent. To what extent will any of those communities of interest be affected by the proposal?

   N/A

BOND INDEBTNESS

1. Do the agencies whose boundaries are being changed have any existing boned debt?

   Yes. The District only has outstanding revenue bonds. These are not general obligation bonds subject to taxation.

2. Will the proposal area be liable for payment of its share of the existing debt?

   Because all of the District's existing bonded indebtedness is in the form of revenue bonds, liability for payment of the debt is apportioned to and collected from every parcel pursuant to the terms of the District's Service Charge Program and not as a separate property tax levy. The District's Master Service Charge Ordinance provides that local governmental parcels are exempt as long as they continue to be used for local governmental purposes. Likewise, the Ordinance provides an exemption for parcels that are not connected to the sewerage system (e.g. open space) until such time as they connect to the sewerage system.

3. To what extent will landowners within the proposal area be liable or remain liable for any existing indebtedness of the city or district?

   Until revenue bond is paid in full.

4. In the case of detachment requests, does the attaching agency propose that the subject territory continue to be liable for existing bonded debt? N/A

Page 5
NOTIFICATION

1. List the name and address of any person(s), organization, community group, or agency known to you who may wish notification, or who may be opposed to this proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Provide the names and addresses of up to three persons who are to receive notice of hearing, staff report, and minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donna J. Curry</td>
<td>P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998</td>
<td>(562) 908-4288 ext. 2708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTICE OF FILING

Los Angeles County Supervisor (Fifth District)
Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office
Consolidated Fire Protection District
Los Angeles County Office of Education
United States Department of the Interior-Bureau of Reclamation
City of Santa Clarita
Castaic Lake Water Agency
Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District
Newhall School District
William S. Hart Union School District

LAFCO File: Annexation No. 1069 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

Pursuant to Government Code Section 56658, notice is hereby given that an application for the proposed annexation listed above has been received by the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO). The application proposes to annex approximately 0.159± acres of uninhabited territory to the City of Santa Clarita. The affected territory is generally located on Alderbrook Drive, approximately 200 feet north of 12th Street, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

The proposal application, map, and legal description are attached for your review. Please submit comments, if any, to the LAFCO office by July 16, 2015.

Pursuant to Government Code section 56662(a) the Commission may make determinations upon the proposed annexation without notice and hearing and may waive protest hearing if the affected territory is uninhabited, no affected local agency has submitted a written demand for notice and hearing during the 10-days following this notice, and satisfactory proof has been provided to LAFCO that all the landowners within the affected territory have given their written consent to the proposed annexation.

If you have any questions about this proposal, please contact this office at (626)204-6500.

Date: June 16, 2015

Amber De La Torre
Government Analyst

Enc.
APPLICATION TO INITIATE PROCEEDING FOR CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION/REORGANIZATION/SPECIAL REORGANIZATION
(Pursuant to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, Division 3, Title 5 Commencing with Section 56000, of the Government Code)

LAFCO PROPOSAL DESIGNATION NO.: A-SCV-1069

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AFFECTED AGENCIES (Cities and/or Special Districts)</th>
<th>RELATED JURISDICTIONAL CHANGES (Annexation, Detachment, Sphere of Influence Amendment, etc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County</td>
<td>1. Annexation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. _____________________________________________</td>
<td>2. ___________________________________________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROPOSAL INITIATED BY: ☑ RESOLUTION ☐ LANDOWNER/REGISTERED VOTER PETITION

APPLICANT: Grace Robinson Hyde

TITLE: Chief Engineer and General Manager

CITY/DISTRICT/CHIEF PETITIONER: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4998

CITY: Whittier

STATE: CA

ZIP CODE: 90607-4998

DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON: Donna J. Curry

TELEPHONE: (562) 908-4288 ext. 2708

E-MAIL ADDRESS: dcurry@lacsd.org

By submitting this Application to Initiate Proceedings, the applicant acknowledges receipt of the "Instruction for Filing Application for Change of Organization/Reorganization/Special Reorganization" and agrees to be bound by same, including, but not limited to the provisions contained therein regarding filing and processing fees, and defense and indemnification of the Commission.
As a condition of any LAFCO approval, the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless LAFCO and its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against LAFCO or its agents, officers, and employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of LAFCO concerning the processing of the proposal or any action relating to, or arising out of, such approval. At the discretion of the Executive Officer, a deposit of funds by the applicant may be required in an amount sufficient to cover the anticipated litigation costs.

SIGNATURE:  Grace R. Hyde  DATE:  April 24, 2015

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL LOCATION:
Describe the location of the proposal area including major streets and highways that border the project area.

Thomas Brothers Map Page 4550, Grid J7
Located on Alderbrook Drive, approximately 200 feet north of 12th Street, all within the City of Santa.

TOTAL ACREAGE OR SQUARE MILES OF TERRITORY:
0.159 acres or 0.0003 square miles

Is the proposal area inhabited (having 12 or more registered voters residing within the territory)?

☐ Yes  ☒ No

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

1. PROPOSAL AREA:  Give a detailed description of the proposal area and what it consists of (e.g. Existing commercial corridors, residential communities, existing redevelopment area, public utility right-of-way, relevant structures, etc.)

   The subject territory consists of one existing single-family home and is located within a residential area.

2. TOPOGRAPHY:  Describe the topography, physical features, (rivers, drainage basins, etc.) and natural boundaries of the subject territory.

   The topography is flat.

POPULATION AND HOUSING

1. What is the current population of the subject territory?
   3

2. If the proposal includes development, what is the estimated population of the proposed area?
   3

3. Number of registered voters within the proposed territory.
   3

4. Number of landowners within the proposed territory.
   1
5. What is the proximity of the subject territory to other populated areas?
   N/A

6. What is the likelihood of significant growth in the area; and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas within the next ten years?
   N/A

7. Number and type of existing dwelling units:
   one single-family home

8. Give a summary of regional housing needs and to what extent will the proposal assist in achieving its fair share of regional housing needs as determined by SCAG?
   N/A

9. To what extent will this proposal promote "environmental justice" (fair treatment of people of all races, cultures and income) with respect to the location of public facilities and provision of public services?
   N/A

LAND USE AND ZONING

1. What is the assessed land valuation of the subject territory (give source and date of information)?
   The assessed valuation per the Assessor’s current tax roll is $87,794

2. What is the current land use and zoning designation within the subject area?
   The present land use is residential. The current zoning is Urban Residential 2 - max 5 units per acre (Santa Clarita) [UR2].

3. What is the proposed planned land use of the subject area?
   The proposed land use is residential.

4. Describe any proposed change in land use and zoning as a result of this proposal (including, if applicable, pre-zoning by an affected city):
   N/A

5. What is the land use in the surrounding area? Be specific.
   The land use in the surrounding territory is residential and vacant.

6. If annexation to a city is involved as a part of this proposal, what is the city’s general plan designation for the area?
   N/A

7. Is the proposal consistent with city or county general plans, specific plans, and or other adopted land use policies?
   Yes

8. Will this proposal result in development of property now or in the near future? Describe the type of development proposed (type of business or industry, single-family or multi-family residential, etc., and number of units or facilities). N/A
9. What effect would denial of this proposal have on the proposed development, if any?

Denial would prevent said territory from obtaining off-site sewage disposal services from the Sanitation District. There are no other local agencies providing off-site sewage disposal services. Therefore, property owners can request permission from their local jurisdiction to construct a septic system.

10. Is the subject territory currently within a redevelopment area or proposed to be included within a redevelopment project area upon completion of this proposal?

No

11. Are there any agricultural or open space lands within the proposal area? What is the effect of this proposal on agricultural or open space lands?

No

GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Explain in detail the reasons for this proposal and why it is necessary.

All of the owners of real properties within the territory proposed to be annexed have requested, in writing, that their properties be annexed to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District so that said district can provide off-site sewage disposal service to said properties.

2. What will be the effect of the proposal and of alternative actions on the following: (include the names of other local agencies having the authority to provide the same or similar services as those proposed.)

   a. Adjacent areas:

      N/A

   b. Mutual social and economic interests:

      N/A

   c. The local government structure of the County:

      No effect.

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

"Government services" refers to governmental services and whether or not those services would be provided by the local agencies subject to the proposal. It includes public facilities necessary to provide those services.

1. Estimate the present cost and describe the adequacy of government services and controls in the area.

   The wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the Santa Clarita Valley Joint Sewerage System (SCVJSS), which is comprised of the Saugus and Valencia Water Reclamation Plants. The SCVJSS has a design capacity of 28.1 mgd and currently processes an average flow of 19.9 mgd. The District’s sewerage facilities have adequate capacity to collect, treat, and dispose of the wastewater to be generated by the subject territory.

2. Estimate the probable future need for government services (including public facilities) or controls in the area:

   Although the present area is not currently serviced by the District, the area was included in the future service area that might be served by the District. The District’s future wastewater management needs were addressed in the 2015 Santa Clarita Joint Sewerage System Facilities Plan and EIR.
3. If the proposal includes incorporation, formation, or annexation, what will be the effect of this proposal or exclusion and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the proposed area and adjacent areas?

N/A

4. If, as a result of this proposal, increased service demand exceeds the existing capacity, describe what will be done by the service provider to increase capacity of services.

The current permitted capacity of the SCVJSS is 28.1 mgd. On January 29, 1998, the Boards of Directors of Districts Nos. 26 and 32 (the two Districts that subsequently consolidated to form Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District) approved the 2015 Plan and certified the associated EIR. The 2015 Plan addresses the sewerage needs of the SCVJSS service area through the year 2015 and the services planned to meet those needs. The 2015 Plan allows the capacity of the SCVJSS to increase to 34.1 mgd by 2015.

5. List any assessments, fees, or other charges to be levied as part of this proposal and or that may be levied in the near future.

The property owner will be paying a service charge for the Districts services.

SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

Provide the following information if the proposal requires a sphere of influence amendment.

1. Is the proposed area within the existing sphere of influence of the annexing agency?

   Yes [x] Name of Agency: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County No 

2. List any communities of social or economic interest within the proposed area or immediately adjacent. To what extent will any of those communities of interest be affected by the proposal?

   N/A

BOND INDEBTNESS

1. Do the agencies whose boundaries are being changed have any existing boned debt?

   Yes. The District only has outstanding revenue bonds. These are not general obligation bonds subject to taxation.

2. Will the proposal area be liable for payment of its share of the existing debt?

   Because all of the District’s existing bonded indebtedness is in the form of revenue bonds, liability for payment of the debt is apportioned to and collected from every parcel pursuant to the terms of the District’s Service Charge Program and not as a separate property tax levy. The District’s Master Service Charge Ordinance provides that local governmental parcels are exempt as long as they continue to be used for local governmental purposes. Likewise, the Ordinance provides an exemption for parcels that are not connected to the sewerage system (e.g. open space) until such time as they connect to the sewerage system.

3. To what extent will landowners within the proposal area be liable or remain liable for any existing indebtedness of the city or district?

   Until revenue bond is paid in full.

4. In the case of detachment requests, does the detaching agency propose that the subject territory continue to be liable for existing bonded debt? N/A
NOTIFICATION

1. List the name and address of any person(s), organization, community group, or agency known to you who may wish notification, or who may be opposed to this proposal.

   Name | Address | Telephone
   --- | --- | ---
   | | |
   | | |
   | | |

2. Provide the names and addresses of up to three persons who are to receive notice of hearing, staff report, and minutes.

   Name | Address | Telephone
   --- | --- | ---
   Donna J. Curry | P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998 | (562) 908-4288 ext. 2708
   | | |
   | | |
NOTICE OF FILING

Los Angeles County Supervisor (Fifth District)
Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office
Consolidated Fire Protection District
Los Angeles County Office of Education
United States Department of the Interior-Bureau of Reclamation
City of Santa Clarita
Castaic Lake Water Agency
Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District
Saugus Union School District
William S. Hart Union School District

LAFCO File: Annexation No. 1073 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

Pursuant to Government Code Section 56658, notice is hereby given that an application for the proposed annexation listed above has been received by the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO). The application proposes to annex approximately 245.042± acres of uninhabited territory to the City of Santa Clarita. The affected territory is generally located at the terminus of Golden Valley Road, northeast of Newhall Ranch Road, north of Soledad Canyon Road, and south of Bouquet Canyon Road, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

The proposal application, map, and legal description are attached for your review. Please submit comments, if any, to the LAFCO office by July 16, 2015.

Pursuant to Government Code section 56662(a) the Commission may make determinations upon the proposed annexation without notice and hearing and may waive protest hearing if the affected territory is uninhabited, no affected local agency has submitted a written demand for notice and hearing during the 10-days following this notice, and satisfactory proof has been provided to LAFCO that all the landowners within the affected territory have given their written consent to the proposed annexation.

If you have any questions about this proposal, please contact this office at (626)204-6500.

Date: June 16, 2015

Amber De La Torre
Government Analyst

Enc.
APPLICATION TO INITIATE PROCEEDING FOR CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION/REORGANIZATION/ SPECIAL REORGANIZATION
(Pursuant to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, Division 3, Title 5 Commencing with Section 56000, of the Government Code)

LAFCO PROPOSAL DESIGNATION NO.: A-SCV-1073

AFFECTED AGENCIES
(Cities and/or Special Districts)

1. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County
2. __________________________

RELATED JURISDICTIONAL CHANGES
(Annexation, Detachment, Sphere of Influence Amendment, etc.)

1. Annexation
2. __________________________

PROPOSAL INITIATED BY: ☑ RESOLUTION ☐ LANDOWNER/REGISTERED VOTER PETITION

APPLICANT: Grace Robinson Hyde

TITLE: Chief Engineer and General Manager

CITY/DISTRICT/CHIEF PETITIONER: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4998 CITY: Whittier STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 90607-4998

DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON: Donna J. Curry TELEPHONE: (562) 908-4288 ext. 2708

E-MAIL ADDRESS: dcurry@lacsd.org

By submitting this Application to Initiate Proceedings, the applicant acknowledges receipt of the "Instruction for Filing Application for Change of Organization/Reorganization/Special Reorganization" and agrees to be bound by same, including, but not limited to the provisions contained therein regarding filing and processing fees, and defense and indemnification of the Commission.
As a condition of any LAFCO approval, the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless LAFCO and its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against LAFCO or its agents, officers, and employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of LAFCO concerning the processing of the proposal or any action relating to, or arising out of, such approval. At the discretion of the Executive Officer, a deposit of funds by the applicant may be required in an amount sufficient to cover the anticipated litigation costs.

SIGNATURE: [Signature]  DATE: June 9, 2015

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL LOCATION:
Describe the location of the proposal area including major streets and highways that border the project area.

Thomas Brothers Map Pages 4551, Grids C1, C2, D1; 4461, Grids C6, C7, D7

Located at the terminus of Golden Valley Road, northeast of Newhall Ranch Road, north of Soledad Canyon Road, and south of Bouquet Canyon Road, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

TOTAL ACREAGE OR SQUARE MILES OF TERRITORY:
245.042 acres or 0.3829 square miles

Is the proposal area inhabited (having 12 or more registered voters residing within the territory)?

☐ Yes  ❌ No

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

1. PROPOSAL AREA: Give a detailed description of the proposal area and what it consists of (e.g. Existing commercial corridors, residential communities, existing redevelopment area, public utility right-of-way, relevant structures, etc.)

   The subject territory consists of 96 proposed single-family homes, 413 proposed condominiums, one proposed community recreation facility, and one proposed junior high school, and is located within a vacant residential area.

2. TOPOGRAPHY: Describe the topography, physical features, (rivers, drainage basins, etc.) and natural boundaries of the subject territory.

   The topography is gentle to moderately steep slopes and a dry river bed.

POPULATION AND HOUSING

1. What is the current population of the subject territory?
   0

2. If the proposal includes development, what is the estimated population of the proposed area?
   1525

3. Number of registered voters within the proposed territory.
   0

4. Number of landowners within the proposed territory.
   1
5. What is the proximity of the subject territory to other populated areas?

N/A

6. What is the likelihood of significant growth in the area; and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas within the next ten years?

N/A

7. Number and type of existing dwelling units:

0

8. Give a summary of regional housing needs and to what extent will the proposal assist in achieving its fair share of regional housing needs as determined by SCAG?

N/A

9. To what extent will this proposal promote “environmental justice” (fair treatment of people of all races, cultures and incomes) with respect to the location of public facilities and provision of public services?

N/A

LAND USE AND ZONING

1. What is the assessed land valuation of the subject territory (give source and date of information)?

The assessed valuation per the Assessor's current tax roll is $7,096,441

2. What is the current land use and zoning designation within the subject area?

The present land use is vacant. The current zoning is Residential Very Low Density (Santa Clarita) [RVL].

3. What is the proposed planned land use of the subject area?

The proposed land use is residential and industrial.

4. Describe any proposed change in land use and zoning as a result of this proposal (including, if applicable, pre-zoning by an affected city):

N/A

5. What is the land use in the surrounding area? Be specific.

The land use in the surrounding territory is residential, industrial, and vacant.

6. If annexation to a city is involved as a part of this proposal, what is the city's general plan designation for the area?

N/A

7. Is the proposal consistent with city or county general plans, specific plans, and or other adopted land use policies?

Yes
8. Will this proposal result in development of property now or in the near future? Describe the type of development proposed (type of business or industry, single-family or multi-family residential, etc., and number of units or facilities).

The territory is being developed to include one 96 proposed single-family homes, 413 proposed condominiums, one proposed community recreation facility, and a proposed junior high school campus.

9. What effect would denial of this proposal have on the proposed development, if any?

Denial would prevent said territory from obtaining off-site sewage disposal services from the Sanitation District. There are no other local agencies providing off-site sewage disposal services. Therefore, property owners can request permission from their local jurisdiction to construct a septic system.

10. Is the subject territory currently within a redevelopment area or proposed to be included within a redevelopment project area upon completion of this proposal?
   No

11. Are there any agricultural or open space lands within the proposal area? What is the effect of this proposal on agricultural or open space lands?
   No

GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Explain in detail the reasons for this proposal and why it is necessary.

   All of the owners of real properties within the territory proposed to be annexed have requested, in writing, that their properties be annexed to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District so that said district can provide off-site sewage disposal service to said properties.

2. What will be the effect of the proposal and of alternative actions on the following: (Include the names of other local agencies having the authority to provide the same or similar services as those proposed.)

   a. Adjacent areas:
      N/A
   b. Mutual social and economic interests:
      N/A
   c. The local government structure of the County:
      No effect.

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

"Government services" refers to governmental services and whether or not those services would be provided by the local agencies subject to the proposal. It includes public facilities necessary to provide those services.

1. Estimate the present cost and describe the adequacy of government services and controls in the area.

   The wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the Santa Clarita Valley Joint Sewerage System (SCVJSS), which is comprised of the Saugus and Valencia Water Reclamation Plants. The SCVJSS has a design capacity of 28.1 mgd and currently processes an average flow of 19.9 mgd. The District's sewerage facilities have adequate capacity to collect, treat, and dispose of the wastewater to be generated by the subject territory.

2. Estimate the probable future need for government services (including public facilities) or controls in the area:
Although the present area is not currently serviced by the District, the area was included in the future service area that might be served by the District. The District's future wastewater management needs were addressed in the 2015 Santa Clarita Joint Sewerage System Facilities Plan and EIR.

3. If the proposal includes incorporation, formation, or annexation, what will be the effect of this proposal or exclusion and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the proposed area and adjacent areas?

N/A

4. If, as a result of this proposal, increased service demand exceeds the existing capacity, describe what will be done by the service provider to increase capacity of services.

The current permitted capacity of the SCVJSS is 28.1 mgd. On January 29, 1998, the Boards of Directors of Districts Nos. 26 and 32 (the two Districts that subsequently consolidated to form Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District) approved the 2015 Plan and certified the associated EIR. The 2015 Plan addresses the sewerage needs of the SCVJSS service area through the year 2015 and the services planned to meet those needs. The 2015 Plan allows the capacity of the SCVJSS to increase to 34.1 mgd by 2015.

5. List any assessments, fees, or other charges to be levied as part of this proposal and or that may be levied in the near future.

The property owner will be paying a service charge for the District’s services.

SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

Provide the following information if the proposal requires a sphere of influence amendment.

1. Is the proposed area within the existing sphere of influence of the annexing agency?

   Yes  X  Name of Agency: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County  No  

2. List any communities of social or economic interest within the proposed area or immediately adjacent. To what extent will any of those communities of interest be affected by the proposal?

   N/A

BOND INDEBTNESS

1. Do the agencies whose boundaries are being changed have any existing bonded debt?

   Yes. The District only has outstanding revenue bonds. These are not general obligation bonds subject to taxation.

2. Will the proposal area be liable for payment of its share of the existing debt?

   Because all of the District's existing bonded indebtedness is in the form of revenue bonds, liability for payment of the debt is apportioned to and collected from every parcel pursuant to the terms of the District's Service Charge Program and not as a separate property tax levy. The District's Master Service Charge Ordinance provides that local governmental parcels are exempt as long as they continue to be used for local governmental purposes. Likewise, the Ordinance provides an exemption for parcels that are not connected to the sewerage system (e.g. open space) until such time as they connect to the sewerage system.

3. To what extent will landowners within the proposal area be liable or remain liable for any existing indebtedness of the city or district?

   Until revenue bond is paid in full.
4. In the case of detachment requests, does the detaching agency propose that the subject territory continue to be liable for existing bonded debt?

N/A

NOTIFICATION

1. List the name and address of any person(s), organization, community group, or agency known to you who may wish notification, or who may be opposed to this proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Provide the names and addresses of up to three persons who are to receive notice of hearing, staff report, and minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donna J. Curry</td>
<td>P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998</td>
<td>(562) 908-4288 ext. 2708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT "A"

ANNEXATION NO. 1073

TO SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT
OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Those certain parcels of land being a portion of the southwest quarter of Section 7 and west half of Section 18, Township 4 North, Range 15 West, S.B.M., situated in the City of Santa Clarita of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, described as follows;

Parcel 1

Beginning at the northeast corner of the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of said Section 7, said point being a point in the boundary of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County as same existed on March 24, 2014, said point also being a point in the boundary of the Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District and the westerly boundary of Tract Map No. 31803 filed in Book 1370, Pages 52 through 63 inclusive of maps, in the Office of the Recorder of said county;

Thence, (L1) South 00°09’51” East, a distance of 1308.29 feet along the boundary of said last mentioned Tract Map;

Thence, (L2) North 89°25’28” East, a distance of 31.58 feet to the northwesterly line as shown on map filed in Book 102, Pages 61 through 69 of Record of Surveys, in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L3) South 34°56’18” West, a distance of 1603.55 feet along said last mentioned northwesterly line to the Southerly line of Lot 1, as shown on map filed in Book 12, Page 40 of Record of Surveys in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L4) South 89°35’20” West, a distance of 336.75 feet along the boundary of said Lot 1;
Thence, (L5) North 00°19′25″ East, a distance of 1304.50 feet to the southeast corner of Tract Map No. 34260 filed in Book 900, Pages 52 through 63 of inclusive of maps, in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L6) North 00°17′13″ East, a distance of 1308.02 feet along the easterly line of said last mentioned Tract Map to the northwest corner of said southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 7;

Thence, (L7) North 89°24′33″ East, a distance of 1205.90 feet along the northerly line said southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 7 to the Point of Beginning;

Containing 60.103 ± acres

Parcel 2

Beginning at the northeast corner of the west half of said Section 18, said point being a point in the boundary of Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County as same existed on March 24, 2014, said point also being the northwest corner of Lot 1 as shown on the map filed in Book 81, Page 85 of Record of Surveys in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L8) South 00°16′44″ West, a distance of 3696.47 feet along the westerly line of said Lot 1 and Tract Map No. 30319 filed in Book 757, Pages 43 through 45 inclusive of maps, in the Office of said Recorder, to the northeast corner of that certain parcel of land as described in deed between Dayton M. Furnival et al and John E. Myers recorded on March 27, 1925 in Book 5163, Page 89 of Official Records in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L9) North 89°14′15″ West, a distance of 440.00 feet along the boundary of said last mentioned deed;
Thence, (L10) South 00°40'25" West, a distance of 110.00 feet to the northeast corner of map filed in Book 163, Page 20 of Record of Surveys in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L11) North 89°13'54" West, a distance of 390.82 feet along the boundary of said last mentioned Record of Surveys;

Thence, (L12) South 00°36'13" West, a distance of 46.50 feet to the northeast corner of map filed in Book 150, Page 70 of Record of Surveys in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L13) South 79°15'32" West, a distance of 197.65 feet along the boundary of said last mentioned Record of Surveys;

Thence, (L14) North 89°13'54" West, a distance of 299.88 feet;

Thence, (L15) South 00°24'21" West, a distance of 92.60 feet to the northeast corner of Lot 5 as shown on said Record of Surveys filed in Book 12, Page 40;

Thence, (L16) North 89°28'35" West, a distance of 432.67 feet along the northerly line of said Lot 5 to the northeast corner of that certain parcel of land described as Parcel 3 in deed to Ermine Street LLC, recorded as Instrument No. 20070637527 in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L17) South 00°26'04" West, a distance of 616.44 feet along the boundary of said last mentioned Parcel 3;

Thence, (L18) South 89°27'45" East, a distance of 138.00 feet;

Thence, (L19) South 00°24'21" West, a distance of 36.00 feet;

Thence, (L20) North 89°27'45" West, a distance of 179.33 feet;

Thence, (L21) North 00°26'04" East, a distance of 462.98 feet;
Thence, (L22) North 89°28'35" West, a distance of 683.41 feet to the easterly line of that certain parcel of land as described in deed to City of Los Angeles, recorded as Instrument No. 81-516741 in the office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L23) North 11°38'21" West, a distance of 337.69 feet to the westerly line of said Record of Surveys, filed in Book 12, Page 40;

Thence, (L24) North 00°19'25" East, a distance of 1420.97 feet to the southeasterly line as shown on map filed in Book 102, Pages 61 through 69 of Record of Surveys in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, (L25) North 34°56'18" East, a distance of 2909.88 feet along said last mentioned southeasterly line to the southwesterly corner of Tract Map No. 31803-05 filed in Book 1323, Pages 74 through 85 inclusive of maps, in the Office of the Recorder of said county;

Thence, (L26) North 89°25'38" East, a distance of 897.67 feet along the southerly line of said last mentioned Tract, said southerly line also being the northerly line of said Section 18 to the Point of Beginning;

Containing 184.939 ± acres

Total Containing 245.042 ± acres
NOTICE OF FILING

Los Angeles County Supervisor (Fifth District)
Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office
Consolidated Fire Protection District
Los Angeles County Office of Education
United States Department of the Interior-Bureau of Reclamation
City of Santa Clarita
Castaic Lake Water Agency
Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District
Susphur Springs Union School District
William S. Hart Union School District

LAFCO File:  Annexation No. 1075 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

Pursuant to Government Code Section 56658, notice is hereby given that an application for the proposed annexation listed above has been received by the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO). The application proposes to annex approximately 0.762± acres of uninhabited territory to the City of Santa Clarita. The affected territory is generally located on Live Oak Springs Canyon Road at Eaglehelm Drive, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

The proposal application, map, and legal description are attached for your review. Please submit comments, if any, to the LAFCO office by July 16, 2015.

Pursuant to Government Code section 56662(a) the Commission may make determinations upon the proposed annexation without notice and hearing and may waive protest hearing if the affected territory is uninhabited, no affected local agency has submitted a written demand for notice and hearing during the 10-days following this notice, and satisfactory proof has been provided to LAFCO that all the landowners within the affected territory have given their written consent to the proposed annexation.

If you have any questions about this proposal, please contact this office at (626)204-6500.

Date:  June 16, 2015

Amber De La Torre
Government Analyst

Enc.
APPLICATION TO INITIATE PROCEEDING FOR CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION/REORGANIZATION/
SPECIAL REORGANIZATION
(Pursuant to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000,
Division 3, Title 5 Commencing with Section 56000, of the Government Code)

LAFCO PROPOSAL DESIGNATION NO.: A-SCV-1075

AFFECTED AGENCIES
(Cities and/or Special Districts)

1. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

2. 

RELATED JURISDICTIONAL CHANGES
(Annexation, Detachment, Sphere of Influence Amendment, etc.)

1. Annexation

2. 

PROPOSAL INITIATED BY: [x] RESOLUTION [ ] LANDOWNER/REGISTERED VOTER PETITION

APPLICANT: Grace Robinson Hyde

TITLE: Chief Engineer and General Manager

CITY/DISTRICT/CHIEF PETITIONER: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4998

CITY: Whittier

STATE: CA

ZIP CODE: 90607-4998

DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON: Donna J. Curry

TELEPHONE: (562) 908-4288 ext. 2708

E-MAIL ADDRESS: dcurry@lacsd.org

By submitting this Application to Initiate Proceedings, the applicant acknowledges receipt of the "Instruction for Filing Application for Change of Organization/Reorganization/Special Reorganization" and agrees to be bound by same, including, but not limited to the provisions contained therein regarding filing and processing fees, and defense and indemnification of the Commission.
As a condition of any LAFCO approval, the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless LAFCO and its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against LAFCO or its agents, officers, and employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of LAFCO concerning the processing of the proposal or any action relating to, or arising out of, such approval. At the discretion of the Executive Officer, a deposit of funds by the applicant may be required in an amount sufficient to cover the anticipated litigation costs.

SIGNATURE: Grace R. Hyde  DATE: June 10, 2015

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL LOCATION:
Describe the location of the proposal area including major streets and highways that border the project area.

Thomas Brothers Map Page 4552, Grid D4
Located on Live Oak Springs Canyon Road at Eaglehelm Drive, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

TOTAL ACREAGE OR SQUARE MILES OF TERRITORY:

0.762 acres or 0.0012 square miles

Is the proposal area inhabited (having 12 or more registered voters residing within the territory)?

☐ Yes  ☒ No

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

1. PROPOSAL AREA: Give a detailed description of the proposal area and what it consists of (e.g. Existing commercial corridors, residential communities, existing redevelopment area, public utility right-of-way, relevant structures, etc.)

   The subject territory consists of one existing single-family home and is located within residential areas.

2. TOPOGRAPHY: Describe the topography, physical features, (rivers, drainage basins, etc.) and natural boundaries of the subject territory.

   The topography is flat.

POPULATION AND HOUSING

1. What is the current population of the subject territory?

   1

2. If the proposal includes development, what is the estimated population of the proposed area?

   1

3. Number of registered voters within the proposed territory.

   1

4. Number of landowners within the proposed territory.

   1
5. What is the proximity of the subject territory to other populated areas?
   N/A

6. What is the likelihood of significant growth in the area; and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas within the next ten years?
   N/A

7. Number and type of existing dwelling units:
   one single-family home

8. Give a summary of regional housing needs and to what extent will the proposal assist in achieving its fair share of regional housing needs as determined by SCAG?
   N/A

9. To what extent will this proposal promote "environmental justice" (fair treatment of people of all races, cultures and income) with respect to the location of public facilities and provision of public services?
   N/A

LAND USE AND ZONING

1. What is the assessed land valuation of the subject territory (give source and date of information)?
   The assessed valuation per the Assessor's current tax roll is $327,383

2. What is the current land use and zoning designation within the subject area?
   The present land use is residential. The current zoning is Residential Very Low Density 1 dwelling unit per gross acre (Santa Clarita) [RVL].

3. What is the proposed planned land use of the subject area?
   The proposed land use is residential.

4. Describe any proposed change in land use and zoning as a result of this proposal (including, if applicable, pre-zoning by an affected city):
   N/A

5. What is the land use in the surrounding area? Be specific.
   The land use in the surrounding territory is residential.

6. If annexation to a city is involved as a part of this proposal, what is the city's general plan designation for the area?
   N/A

7. Is the proposal consistent with city or county general plans, specific plans, and or other adopted land use policies?
   Yes

8. Will this proposal result in development of property now or in the near future? Describe the type of development proposed (type of business or industry, single-family or multi-family residential, etc., and number of units or facilities). N/A
9. What effect would denial of this proposal have on the proposed development, if any?

   Denial would prevent said territory from obtaining off-site sewage disposal services from the Sanitation District. There are no other local agencies providing off-site sewage disposal services. Therefore, property owners can request permission from their local jurisdiction to construct a septic system.

10. Is the subject territory currently within a redevelopment area or proposed to be included within a redevelopment project area upon completion of this proposal?

   No

11. Are there any agricultural or open space lands within the proposal area? What is the effect of this proposal on agricultural or open space lands?

   No

GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Explain in detail the reasons for this proposal and why it is necessary.

   All of the owners of real properties within the territory proposed to be annexed have requested, in writing, that their properties be annexed to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District so that said district can provide off-site sewage disposal service to said properties.

2. What will be the effect of the proposal and of alternative actions on the following: (Include the names of other local agencies having the authority to provide the same or similar services as those proposed.)

   a. Adjacent areas:
      N/A
   b. Mutual social and economic interests:
      N/A
   c. The local government structure of the County:
      No effect.

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

"Government services" refers to governmental services and whether or not those services would be provided by the local agencies subject to the proposal. It includes public facilities necessary to provide those services.

1. Estimate the present cost and describe the adequacy of government services and controls in the area.

   The wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the Santa Clarita Valley Joint Sewerage System (SCVJSS), which is comprised of the Saugus and Valencia Water Reclamation Plants. The SCVJSS has a design capacity of 28.1 mgd and currently processes an average flow of 19.9 mgd. The District's sewerage facilities have adequate capacity to collect, treat, and dispose of the wastewater to be generated by the subject territory.

2. Estimate the probable future need for government services (including public facilities) or controls in the area:

   Although the present area is not currently serviced by the District, the area was included in the future service area that might be served by the District. The District's future wastewater management needs were addressed in the 2015 Santa Clarita Joint Sewerage System Facilities Plan and EIR.
3. If the proposal includes incorporation, formation, or annexation, what will be the effect of this proposal or exclusion and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the proposed area and adjacent areas?

N/A

4. If, as a result of this proposal, increased service demand exceeds the existing capacity, describe what will be done by the service provider to increase capacity of services.

The current permitted capacity of the SCVJSS is 28.1 mgd. On January 29, 1998, the Boards of Directors of Districts Nos. 26 and 32 (the two Districts that subsequently consolidated to form Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District) approved the 2015 Plan and certified the associated EIR. The 2015 Plan addresses the sewerage needs of the SCVJSS service area through the year 2015 and the services planned to meet those needs. The 2015 Plan allows the capacity of the SCVJSS to increase to 34.1 mgd by 2015.

5. List any assessments, fees, or other charges to be levied as part of this proposal and or that may be levied in the near future.

The property owner will be paying a service charge for the District's services.

SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

Provide the following information if the proposal requires a sphere of influence amendment.

1. Is the proposed area within the existing sphere of influence of the annexing agency?

   Yes [X] Name of Agency: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County No

2. List any communities of social or economic interest within the proposed area or immediately adjacent. To what extent will any of those communities of interest be affected by the proposal?

   N/A

BOND INDEBTNESS

1. Do the agencies whose boundaries are being changed have any existing bonded debt?

   Yes. The District only has outstanding revenue bonds. These are not general obligation bonds subject to taxation.

2. Will the proposal area be liable for payment of its share of the existing debt?

   Because all of the District's existing bonded indebtedness is in the form of revenue bonds, liability for payment of the debt is apportioned to and collected from every parcel pursuant to the terms of the District's Service Charge Program and not as a separate property tax levy. The District's Master Service Charge Ordinance provides that local governmental parcels are exempt as long as they continue to be used for local governmental purposes. Likewise, the Ordinance provides an exemption for parcels that are not connected to the sewerage system (e.g. open space) until such time as they connect to the sewerage system.

3. To what extent will landowners within the proposal area be liable or remain liable for any existing indebtedness of the city or district?

   Until revenue bond is paid in full.

4. In the case of detachment requests, does the detaching agency propose that the subject territory continue to be liable for existing bonded debt? N/A
NOTIFICATION

1. List the name and address of any person(s), organization, community group, or agency known to you who may wish notification, or who may be opposed to this proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Provide the names and addresses of up to three persons who are to receive notice of hearing, staff report, and minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donna J. Curry</td>
<td>P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998</td>
<td>(562) 908-4288 ext. 2708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXHIBIT "A"

ANNEXATION NO. 1075

TO SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT
OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

That certain parcel of land being a portion of the west half of the northwest quarter of Section 25, Township 4 North, Range 15 West, S.B.M. situated in Unincorporated Territory in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, described as follows;

Beginning at the intersection of the centerline of Live Oak Springs Canyon Road as shown on map of Tract No. 30087 filed in Book 741, pages 53 through 59, inclusive of Maps in the Office of the Recorder of said County, and the southwesterly prolongation of the southeasterly line of Lot 27 as shown on said Tract Map, distant North 69°00′40" West 11.98 feet thereon, from the centerline intersection of said Live Oak Springs Canyon Road and Eaglehelm Drive as shown on said Tract Map;

Thence, along said centerline of Live Oak Springs Canyon Road the following described courses;

Thence, (L1) North 69°00′40" West, 92.50 feet;

Thence, (C2) westerly and northwesterly along a tangent 500.00 foot radius curve concave to the northeast, an arc distance of 70.07 feet, through a central angle of 08°01′44", to an angle in the boundary of County Sanitation District of Santa Clarita Valley as same existed on May 5, 2014;
Thence, (C3) continuing along said 500 foot radius curve, in the centerline of Live Oak Canyon Road and district boundary, an arc distance of 10.77 feet, through a central angle of 01°14′06″, to its intersection with the southwesterly prolongation of the northwesterly line of said Lot 27;

Thence, leaving said district boundary (L4) North 41°32′35″ East, 288.12 to the northerly corner of said Lot 27;

Thence, (L5) South 52°18′22″ East, 75.00 feet, along the northeasterly line of said Lot 27 to the easterly corner of said Lot 27;

Thence, (L6) South 20°59′20″ West, 254.74 feet, along said southeasterly line and southwesterly prolongation of Lot 27 to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Containing 0.762 ± acres
NOTICE OF FILING

Los Angeles County Supervisor (Fifth District)
Los Angeles County Chief Executive Office
Consolidated Fire Protection District
Los Angeles County Office of Education
United States Department of the Interior-Bureau of Reclamation
City of Santa Clarita
Castaic Lake Water Agency
Newhall County Water District
Greater Los Angeles County Vector Control District
Antelope Valley Resource Conservation District
Sulphur Springs Union School District
William S. Hart Union School District

LAFCO File: Annexation No. 1076 to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

Pursuant to Government Code Section 56658, notice is hereby given that an application for the proposed annexation listed above has been received by the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO). The application proposes to annex approximately 0.4± acres of uninhabited territory to the City of Santa Clarita. The affected territory is generally located at the terminus of Bakerton Avenue at its intersection with Darter Drive, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

The proposal application, map, and legal description are attached for your review. Please submit comments, if any, to the LAFCO office by July 16, 2015.

Pursuant to Government Code section 56662(a) the Commission may make determinations upon the proposed annexation without notice and hearing and may waive protest hearing if the affected territory is uninhabited, no affected local agency has submitted a written demand for notice and hearing during the 10-days following this notice, and satisfactory proof has been provided to LAFCO that all the landowners within the affected territory have given their written consent to the proposed annexation.

If you have any questions about this proposal, please contact this office at (626)204-6500.

Date: June 16, 2015

Amber De La Torre
Government Analyst

Enc.
APPLICATION TO INITIATE PROCEEDING FOR CHANGE OF ORGANIZATION/REORGANIZATION/SPECIAL REORGANIZATION
(Pursuant to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, Division 3, Title 5 Commencing with Section 56000, of the Government Code)

LAFCO PROPOSAL DESIGNATION NO.: A-SCV-1076

AFFECTED AGENCIES
(Cities and/or Special Districts)

1. Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

2. __________________________

RELATED JURISDICTIONAL CHANGES
(Annexation, Detachment, Sphere of Influence Amendment, etc.)

1. Annexation

2. __________________________

PROPOSAL INITIATED BY: ☒ RESOLUTION ☐ LANDOWNER/REGISTERED VOTER PETITION

APPLICANT: Grace Robinson Hyde

TITLE: Chief Engineer and General Manager

CITY/DISTRICT/CHIEF PETITIONER: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County

ADDRESS: P.O. Box 4998 CITY: Whittier STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 90607-4998

DESIGNATED CONTACT PERSON: Donna J. Curry TELEPHONE: (562) 908-4288 ext. 2708

E-MAIL ADDRESS: dcurry@lacsdo.org

By submitting this Application to Initiate Proceedings, the applicant acknowledges receipt of the "Instruction for Filing Application for Change of Organization/Reorganization/Special Reorganization" and agrees to be bound by same, including, but not limited to the provisions contained therein regarding filing and processing fees, and defense and indemnification of the Commission.
As a condition of any LAFCO approval, the applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless LAFCO and its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against LAFCO or its agents, officers, and employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul the approval of LAFCO concerning the processing of the proposal or any action relating to, or arising out of, such approval. At the discretion of the Executive Officer, a deposit of funds by the applicant may be required in an amount sufficient to cover the anticipated litigation costs.

SIGNATURE: Grace R. Hyde          DATE: June 10, 2015

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL LOCATION:
Describe the location of the proposal area including major streets and highways that border the project area.

Thomas Brothers Map Page 4551, Grid G1
Located at the terminus of Bakerton Avenue at its intersection with Darter Drive, all within the City of Santa Clarita.

TOTAL ACREAGE OR SQUARE MILES OF TERRITORY:

0.4 acres or 0.0006 square miles
Is the proposal area inhabited (having 12 or more registered voters residing within the territory)?

☐ Yes  ☒ No

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

1. PROPOSAL AREA: Give a detailed description of the proposal area and what it consists of (e.g. Existing commercial corridors, residential communities, existing redevelopment area, public utility right-of-way, relevant structures, etc.)

   The subject territory consists of one existing single-family home and is located within residential areas.

2. TOPOGRAPHY: Describe the topography, physical features, (rivers, drainage basins, etc.) and natural boundaries of the subject territory.

   The topography is flat.

POPULATION AND HOUSING

1. What is the current population of the subject territory?
   3

2. If the proposal includes development, what is the estimated population of the proposed area?
   3

3. Number of registered voters within the proposed territory.
   2

4. Number of landowners within the proposed territory.
   1
5. What is the proximity of the subject territory to other populated areas?
   
   N/A

6. What is the likelihood of significant growth in the area; and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas within the next ten years?
   
   N/A

7. Number and type of existing dwelling units:
   
   one single-family home

8. Give a summary of regional housing needs and to what extent will the proposal assist in achieving its fair share of regional housing needs as determined by SCAG?
   
   N/A

9. To what extent will this proposal promote "environmental justice" (fair treatment of people of all races, cultures and income) with respect to the location of public facilities and provision of public services?
   
   N/A

**LAND USE AND ZONING**

1. What is the assessed land valuation of the subject territory (give source and date of information)?
   
   The assessed valuation per the Assessor's current tax roll is $209,934

2. What is the current land use and zoning designation within the subject area?
   
   The present land use is residential. The current zoning is Single-Family Residential [R-1].

3. What is the proposed planned land use of the subject area?
   
   The proposed land use is residential.

4. Describe any proposed change in land use and zoning as a result of this proposal (including, if applicable, pre-zoning by an affected city):
   
   N/A

5. What is the land use in the surrounding area? Be specific.
   
   The land use in the surrounding territory is residential.

6. If annexation to a city is involved as a part of this proposal, what is the city's general plan designation for the area?
   
   N/A

7. Is the proposal consistent with city or county general plans, specific plans, and or other adopted land use policies?
   
   Yes

8. Will this proposal result in development of property now or in the near future? Describe the type of development proposed (type of business or industry, single-family or multi-family residential, etc., and number of units or facilities).
   
   N/A
9. What effect would denial of this proposal have on the proposed development, if any?

Denial would prevent said territory from obtaining off-site sewage disposal services from the Sanitation District. There are no other local agencies providing off-site sewage disposal services. Therefore, property owners can request permission from their local jurisdiction to construct a septic system.

10. Is the subject territory currently within a redevelopment area or proposed to be included within a redevelopment project area upon completion of this proposal?

No

11. Are there any agricultural or open space lands within the proposal area? What is the effect of this proposal on agricultural or open space lands?

No

GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Explain in detail the reasons for this proposal and why it is necessary.

All of the owners of real properties within the territory proposed to be annexed have requested, in writing, that their properties be annexed to Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District so that said district can provide off-site sewage disposal service to said properties.

2. What will be the effect of the proposal and of alternative actions on the following: (Include the names of other local agencies having the authority to provide the same or similar services as those proposed.)

a. Adjacent areas:

   N/A

b. Mutual social and economic interests:

   N/A

c. The local government structure of the County:

   No effect.

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

“Government services” refers to governmental services and whether or not those services would be provided by the local agencies subject to the proposal. It includes public facilities necessary to provide those services.

1. Estimate the present cost and describe the adequacy of government services and controls in the area.

   The wastewater generated by the proposed project will be treated by the Santa Clarita Valley Joint Sewerage System (SCVJSS), which is comprised of the Saugus and Valencia Water Reclamation Plants. The SCVJSS has a design capacity of 28.1 mgd and currently processes an average flow of 19.9 mgd. The District’s sewerage facilities have adequate capacity to collect, treat, and dispose of the wastewater to be generated by the subject territory.

2. Estimate the probable future need for government services (including public facilities) or controls in the area:

   Although the present area is not currently serviced by the District, the area was included in the future service area that might be served by the District. The District’s future wastewater management needs were addressed in the 2015 Santa Clarita Joint Sewerage System Facilities Plan and EIR.
3. If the proposal includes incorporation, formation, or annexation, what will be the effect of this proposal or exclusion and of alternative courses of action on the cost and adequacy of services and controls in the proposed area and adjacent areas?

N/A

4. If, as a result of this proposal, increased service demand exceeds the existing capacity, describe what will be done by the service provider to increase capacity of services.

The current permitted capacity of the SCVJSS is 28.1 mgd. On January 29, 1998, the Boards of Directors of Districts Nos. 26 and 32 (the two Districts that subsequently consolidated to form Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District) approved the 2015 Plan and certified the associated EIR. The 2015 Plan addresses the sewerage needs of the SCVJSS service area through the year 2015 and the services planned to meet those needs. The 2015 Plan allows the capacity of the SCVJSS to increase to 34.1 mgd by 2015.

5. List any assessments, fees, or other charges to be levied as part of this proposal and or that may be levied in the near future.

The property owner will be paying a service charge for the Districts services.

SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

Provide the following information if the proposal requires a sphere of influence amendment.

1. Is the proposed area within the existing sphere of influence of the annexing agency?

Yes [X] Name of Agency: Santa Clarita Valley Sanitation District of Los Angeles County  No [ ]

2. List any communities of social or economic interest within the proposed area or immediately adjacent. To what extent will any of those communities of interest be affected by the proposal?

N/A

BOND INDEBTNESS

1. Do the agencies whose boundaries are being changed have any existing bonded debt?

Yes. The District only has outstanding revenue bonds. These are not general obligation bonds subject to taxation.

2. Will the proposal area be liable for payment of its share of the existing debt?

Because all of the District's existing bonded indebtedness is in the form of revenue bonds, liability for payment of the debt is apportioned to and collected from every parcel pursuant to the terms of the District’s Service Charge Program and not as a separate property tax levy. The District's Master Service Charge Ordinance provides that local governmental parcels are exempt as long as they continue to be used for local governmental purposes. Likewise, the Ordinance provides an exemption for parcels that are not connected to the sewerage system (e.g. open space) until such time as they connect to the sewerage system.

3. To what extent will landowners within the proposal area be liable or remain liable for any existing indebtedness of the city or district?

Until revenue bond is paid in full.

4. In the case of detachment requests, does the detaching agency propose that the subject territory continue to be liable for existing bonded debt? N/A
NOTIFICATION

1. List the name and address of any person(s), organization, community group, or agency known to you who may wish notification, or who may be opposed to this proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Provide the names and addresses of up to three persons who are to receive notice of hearing, staff report, and minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donna J. Curry</td>
<td>P.O. Box 4998, Whittier, CA 90607-4998</td>
<td>(562) 908-4288 ext. 2708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   |            |                      |               |
EXHIBIT "A"

ANNEXATION NO. 1076

TO SANTA CLARITA VALLEY SANITATION DISTRICT
OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

That certain parcel of land being a portion of the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 16, Township 4 North, Range 15 West, S.B.M. situated in the City of Santa Clarita, in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, described as follows;

Beginning at a point in the northerly line of Tract No. 28043, filed in Book 758, pages 95 through 100, inclusive of maps in the Office of the Recorder of said County distant thereon North 00°11'07" West 127.00 feet from the centerline intersection of Darter Drive and Bakerton Avenue as shown on said Tract Map, said point being a point in the County Sanitation District of Santa Clarita Valley as same existed on June 25, 2014;

Thence, (L1) South 89°48'53" West, 105.00 feet, along said district boundary to the northwest corner of Lot 95 as shown on said Tract Map, said northwest corner of Lot 95 also being the southwest corner of that certain parcel of land as described in deed to Donald J. Trousdale and Darlene D. Trousdale, Husband and Wife, recorded as Instrument No. 02-0253674, of Official Records in the Office of said Recorder;

Thence, along said certain parcel of land the following described courses;

Thence, (L2) North 00°11'07" West, 55.28 feet, to the northwest corner of said certain parcel of land;
Thence, (L3) North 87°31'11" East, 105.08 feet; along the northerly line of said certain parcel of land;

Thence, (L4) North 83°41'47" East, 286.27 feet, continuing along said northerly line of said certain parcel of land in a direct line through the northeast corner of said certain parcel of land to the northeast corner of that certain parcel of land described as Parcel 2 in deed to Kary G. Metcalf an unmarried man and Robert Glenn Metcalf and Vivian Ilene Metcalf husband and wife, recorded as Instrument No. 82-1075297, of Official Records, in the Office of said Recorder, said northeast corner being a point in the easterly line of said northwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 16 and district boundary;

Thence, along said district boundary the following described courses;

Thence, (L5) South 00°25'03" East, 90.00 feet to a point on said northerly line of Tract Map No. 28043;

Thence, (L6) South 89°48'53" West, 285.00 feet, to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Containing 0.627 ± acres
Attachment 7

LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION (COUNTY COMMITTEE)
LEGISLATIVE REVIEW – JULY 2015

BILL NUMBER/AUTHOR:
Assembly Bill 182 / Alejo

INTRODUCTION DATE:
01/26/15

LAST ACTIVITY/DATE:
6/16/15 Approved by Senate Educ. Committee after review.

DESCRIPTION OF BILL
This bill would require a court to implement specified remedies upon a finding that a district-based election was imposed or applied in a manner that impaired the ability of a protected class to elect candidates of its choice. The bill would also direct a court to implement a redistricting plan if it would provide redress, as well as allow the court to increase the size of the governing body upon approval of voters, and/or approving a single member district-based election system that provides the protected class the opportunity to join in a coalition of two or more protected classes to elect candidates of their choice if there is demonstrated political cohesion among the protected classes.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS
This bill would likely result in increased activity related to the California Voting Rights Act, which has had significant impact on school districts statewide.

RECOMMENDED POSITION
Staff recommends the following position:

☒ Watch  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
☐ Approve  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
☐ Support   County Committee actively supports the bill.
☐ Oppose    County Committee actively opposes the bill.
☐ Disapprove County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would amend the California Voting Rights Act’s (CVRA) definition of “political subdivision” to expressly include a charter city, charter county, or charter city and county. This bill would also state that it is the intent of the Legislature to codify the holding of the state courts regarding the applicability of the CVRA to charter cities.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

This bill could have a large impact on Los Angeles County School Districts which are city charter districts.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

☑️ Watch  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.

☐ Approve  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.

☐ Support  County Committee actively supports the bill.

☐ Oppose  County Committee actively opposes the bill.

☐ Disapprove  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would require the legislative body of a general law city with a population of 100,000 or more to adopt an ordinance, without voter approval, for the election of members of the legislative body by district.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

This bill may impact Los Angeles County School Districts, which overlap territory with general law cities.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

- **Watch**  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
- **Approve** County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
- **Support** County Committee actively supports the bill.
- **Oppose** County Committee actively opposes the bill.
- **Disapprove** County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
BILL NUMBER/AUTHOR: Assembly Bill 331 / Levine

INTRODUCTION DATE: 02/13/2015

LAST ACTIVITY/DATE: 06/16/15: Senate Appropriations Committee hearing scheduled for 06/22/15.

DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would authorize a county committee on school district organization, for any school district whose average daily attendance during the preceding year was less than 300, to approve or disapprove a proposal to decrease the membership of the school district governing board from 5 to 3.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

This bill would likely not have significant impact in Los Angeles County, since it is directed toward small school districts with less than 300 ADA.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

☐ Watch  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
☐ Approve  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
☒ Support  County Committee actively supports the bill.
☐ Oppose  County Committee actively opposes the bill.
☐ Disapprove  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
BILL NUMBER/AUTHOR:
Assembly Bill 480 / Harper

INTRODUCTION DATE:
02/11/2015

LAST ACTIVITY/DATE:
05/28/2015   Held in Assembly Appropriation Committee.

DESCRIPTION OF BILL

Existing law establishes procedures for the reorganization of school districts, including, but not limited to, unifying school districts by consolidating all or part of one or more school districts, as specified. This bill would require the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) to conduct a study of potential benefits and impacts of school district unification. The bill would specify topics to be included in the study.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

If the study outlined in the bill is conducted by the LAO, it could provide valuable insights for any district, LACOE or the Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee) in analyzing unification petitions. Much of the bill language focuses on examining the benefits of unification, and that information could be helpful to any school districts considering this move. The County Committee, in its review of unification petitions, is assisted greatly by the availability of neutral analytical information and would likely make great use of the data developed by this study. Further, the County Superintendent of Schools, who serves as the statutory Secretary to the County Committee, may find the information developed by this study to be useful. Another of the points for study emphasized in the bill is the impact of the Local Control Funding Formula on unifications – that issue has been little studied statewide as it has only impacted three districts so far – however, one of those districts is in Los Angeles County (Wiseburn Unified School District).

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

☐ Watch  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
☐ Approve  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
☒ Support  County Committee actively supports the bill.
☐ Oppose  County Committee actively opposes the bill.
☐ Disapprove  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would establish separate procedural requirements for an action to form a new school district within the boundaries of a single school district within a single county. This bill would authorize an action to be initiated by a petition signed by at least 10% of the number of qualified electors who voted in the last gubernatorial election and who reside within the boundaries of the proposed school district, or by resolution of a local agency. The bill would require the county board of education to hold a public hearing. [emphasis added]

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

This bill as written could potentially substantially impact the school district organization process.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

- **Watch**  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
- **Approve**  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
- **Support**  County Committee actively supports the bill.
- **Oppose**  County Committee actively opposes the bill.
- **Disapprove**  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would establish a state preclearance system for electoral procedures. Under this system, if a covered political subdivision, as defined, enacts or seeks to administer a voting-related law, policy, or regulation, as specified, that is different from that in force or effect on the date this act is enacted, the governing body of the covered political subdivision would be required to submit the law, regulation, or policy to the Secretary of State for approval. The bill would require the Secretary of State to approve the law, regulation, or policy only if specified conditions are met, and it may not take effect unless approved.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The bill would likely impact districts seeking to change their governance structure and/or voting procedures.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

☑ Watch        Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
☐ Approve      County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
☐ Support      County Committee actively supports the bill.
☐ Oppose       County Committee actively opposes the bill.
☐ Disapprove   County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would authorize the legislative body of a city to adopt an ordinance that requires the members of the body to be elected by district or by district with an elective mayor without being required to submit the ordinance to the voters for approval.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The bill would have unknown impacts on school districts in Los Angeles County.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

☐ Watch  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
☐ Approve  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
☐ Support  County Committee actively supports the bill.
☐ Oppose  County Committee actively opposes the bill.
☐ Disapprove  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
AMENDMENTS REQUIRED

If staff’s recommended position is based on the need for amendments to the bill language, suggested alternative language is attached.

CORRESPONDENCE REQUIRED

If staff’s recommended position is based on the need for correspondence to the bill’s author, the Governor or other governmental officials, a draft of suggested language is attached.

Please direct all comments to Mr. Keith D. Crafton, Secretary to the County Committee at (562) 922-6144.

This document was prepared by staff to the County Committee
C. Vacancies

1. Vacancies on the County Committee are created by any of the events outlined in Government Code (GC) §1770 (see Appendix 2).

2. Vacancies occurring during the term of office of a member of the County Committee shall be filled by a majority vote of the remaining members of the County Committee or, if they fail to fill such vacancies within 70 days, by the County Superintendent. Persons appointed to fill such vacancies shall hold office for the remainder of the unexpired term (EC §4006[c][2]).

Since no legal provisions specify the method for county committees to make appointments to fill vacancies, the County Committee may:

a. Solicit nominations for candidates from governing boards of the 94 school and community college districts in Los Angeles County and interview each candidate whose name is submitted; or

b. If the vacancy occurs within two months prior to or three months subsequent to a regular County Committee election (October of each year) and the election was held to elect candidates in the supervisorial district in which there is now a vacancy, the County Committee may select the candidate receiving the next highest number of votes.

3. As determined by the County Committee, the appointment process shall be conducted by either of the following methods:

a. By Interview

All candidates will be interviewed in a public meeting forum. In the event that there is a large number of candidates, the County Committee has the option to either interview all of the candidates or to appoint a screening committee responsible for narrowing down the list of candidates. The screening committee will determine the method for selecting the field of candidates to advance to the final interview and the number of candidates who will be interviewed by the County Committee.
The County Committee can request all candidates to sit at the staff table and interview together or request candidates, other than the candidate being interviewed, to leave the room until it is his/her turn to be interviewed.

During the interview process, County Committee members may ask questions of each candidate related to the candidate's availability, experience, and commitment. Each candidate may be asked to make comments regarding questions or concerns relating to County Committee service.

Questions will not be revealed to the candidate prior to the actual appointment interview. A letter will be forwarded to the candidates specifying the time, date, and place of the interviews, and indicating that a series of general questions will be asked of each candidate.

At the conclusion of the interview session, motions may be made and seconded concerning the appointment of any candidate. The successful candidate will be determined by a vote of a majority (6) of the members of the County Committee.

b. Select Candidate From a List of Nominees From Prior Election

The County Committee will contact the candidate with the next highest number of votes from the prior election and determine if the candidate is still interested in serving on the County Committee. If the candidate is still interested, the County Committee will take action to seat him/her at the next County Committee meeting.

If the candidate with the next highest number of votes is not interested, the County Committee may go down the list to the third candidate with the highest number of votes, etc., or opt to solicit nominations using the interview process.

The County Committee is not required to interview the candidates in utilizing this process.

4. If a County Committee member desires to resign, the pending resignation should be discussed with the County Committee Secretary prior to writing and submitting a formal letter of resignation. The vacancy becomes an established fact upon the date indicated in the written resignation or upon filing of the written resignation with the County Committee Secretary if no date is indicated.
Summary of Los Angeles Unified School District Reorganization Proposals

July 2015

The following is a summary of school district reorganization proposals affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District (USD) that were at various stages in the school district organization process as of June 17, 2015.

RECENT INQUIRIES REGARDING REORGANIZATION (within the last two years)

Formation Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None

Transfer of Territory Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None
Summary of Los Angeles County School District Reorganization Proposals
(Excluding those affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District)

July 2015

The following is a summary of school district reorganization proposals [exclusive of those affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District (USD) that are at various stages in the school district reorganization process as of June 18, 2015.]

PETITION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES FROM FIVE TO SEVEN WITHIN THE POMONA USD

On April 8, 2015, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §5020 to increase the number of trustees from five to seven within the Pomona USD. The request was submitted by chief petitioner Mr. John Mendoza. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine its legal compliance regarding format and content. On April 27, 2015, County Counsel deemed the petition sufficient. Staff returned the petition to the chief petitioner on April 29, 2015, for circulation.

Please note that this is a separate petition, distinct from the other petitions requested by Mr. Mendoza, and requests some of the same changes within the Pomona USD (the addition of two governing board members). It was submitted under EC §5020(c) and, based on the number of registered voters in the Pomona USD, requires valid signatures from at least 10% of the registered voters within the petition area. If valid and certified by the County Committee, this petition would trigger a vote within the district, before which the County Committee may choose to hold one or more public hearings on the proposal.

*Status: Petitioner is gathering signatures.
Status Date: May 26, 2015

PETITION TO TRANSFER TERRITORY FROM THE CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT (HSD) AND LAWNDALE SD TO THE WISEBURN USD

On May 15, 2014, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §35700, to transfer territory from the Centinela Valley Union HSD and the Lawndale SD to the
Wiseburn USD. The request was submitted by chief petitioners Ms. Shavonda Webber-Christmas and Mr. Bill Magoon. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine its legal compliance regarding format and content. On June 20, 2014, County Counsel deemed the petition sufficient. Staff returned the petition to the chief petitioners on June 23, 2014.

On October 15, 2014, the chief petitioners submitted signed petitions for review. On October 15, 2014, staff conveyed the signed petitions to the Registrar-Recorder for signature verification. On November 6, 2014, staff received notice from the Registrar-Recorder that there were insufficient signatures to move the petition forward. Staff notified the chief petitioners, who elected to gather additional signatures. On December 4, 2014, the chief petitioners submitted additional signatures, which were submitted to the Registrar-Recorder on December 5, 2014 for signature verification. On December 22, 2014, the Registrar Recorder notified staff that the additional signatures were validated, and the petition did have sufficient signatures to move forward.

The petition was presented to the County Committee on January 7, 2015. Two public hearings were held March 2, 2015, one in each of the affected districts. A feasibility study is being completed and will be presented to the County Committee after which the matter may be voted on.

Status: Feasibility study being presented July 1, 2015
*Status Date: June 18, 2015

**FORMATION—ALTADENA USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PASADENA USD)**

On January 17, 2006, LACOE received a request for a petition from chief petitioners Ms. Maurice Morse, Ms. Shirlee Smith, and Mr. Bruce Wasson, three community members who are residents of the area known as Altadena. The chief petitioners want to form an Altadena USD from territory within the boundaries of the Pasadena USD. The petition request was returned to the chief petitioners on January 20, 2006, because it lacked an adequate description of the area pursuant to EC §35700.3.

On February 10, 2006, LACOE received a revised request for a petition. Staff reviewed the request and forwarded a draft petition to County Counsel on February 22, 2006, for a legal compliance review regarding format and content. We received notification on March 6, 2006, from County Counsel informing us that the draft petition was legally acceptable.

On March 7, 2006, staff forwarded the draft petition to the Registrar-Recorder for verification that the description of the proposed boundaries of the Altadena USD was sufficiently clear (so that registered voters residing within the proposed petition area could be
identified with specificity). The Registrar-Recorder confirmed that the description was sufficient on March 10, 2006.

The petition was mailed to the chief petitioners on March 14, 2006, for circulation within the petition area. The Registrar-Recorder estimated the chief petitioners will need to collect approximately 7,000 valid signatures in order to meet the criteria set forth in EC §35700(a).

On September 23, 2010, chief petitioners delivered signed petitions to LACOE. Staff submitted the petitions to the Registrar-Recorder on September 27, 2010, for signature verification. On October 22, 2010, the Registrar-Recorder notified staff that there were insufficient valid signatures (less than the required 25 percent of the registered voters within the petition area). Staff notified the chief petitioners of the insufficiency, and at Mr. Wasson’s request, returned the petitions to the Registrar-Recorder for a signature audit. Staff also advised the chief petitioner regarding the collection of additional signatures. Upon notification by the Registrar-Recorder of a sufficient number of valid signatures, staff will present the petition to the County Committee at the next regular meeting.

On January 4, 2011, staff conferred with a representative from the Registrar-Recorder’s office, who informed us that no audit of petition signatures had been done yet, and they clarified the cost of signature verification. On February 15 and March 1, 2011, staffs contacted the Registrar-Recorder and were informed that the signature audit had still not been done. On May 12, 2011, staff from the Registrar-Recorder’s office advised LACOE that an audit of the petition’s signatures was underway. On November 28, 2011, the chief petitioner Mr. Wasson notified LACOE of the death of one of the co-chief petitioners, Ms. Morse. Mr. Wasson stated that another chief petitioner would not be named.

In August of 2014, staff confirmed that petitioner is still interested in collecting additional signatures.

Status: Petition insufficient; chief petitioners may gather additional signatures.
Status Date: December 5, 2011

FORMATION—MALIBU USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE SANTA MONICA-MALIBU USD)

Status: Petition currently in circulation.
Status Date: February 21, 2008

FORMATION—LA MIRADA USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE NORWALK – LA MIRADA USD)

Status: Petition in circulation.
Status Date: March 20, 2007
Unification Proposals/Last Activity Date

- Malibu USD (Santa Monica-Malibu USD)/November 2011

Transfer of Territory Proposals/Last Activity Date

- *Monrovia USD to Arcadia USD/June 2015
- Glendale USD to La Canada USD/December 2014
- Pasadena USD to La Canada USD/April 2013
- Temple City USD to Arcadia USD/March 2012

Formation Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None

Trustee Areas and Governing Board Size/Last Activity Date

- *Pomona USD / June 2015

* = indicates activity since last meeting