September 24, 2015

TO: Members of the Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee)

FROM: Keith D. Crafton, Secretary, County Committee

SUBJECT: Regular Meeting of the County Committee—
Wednesday, October 7, 2015

The next regular meeting of the County Committee will be held at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, October 7, 2015, in the Board Room of the Los Angeles County Office of Education, located at 9300 Imperial Highway in Downey. Reserved parking spaces will be available on the east side of the building for County Committee members.

Attached is the agenda for the meeting of October 7, 2015.

If you have any questions, please call me at (562) 922-6131.

KDC/EH:ah
Attachments
AGENDA

LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE ON SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION
(COUNTY COMMITTEE)

Regular Meeting
Los Angeles County Office of Education
Board Room
October 7, 2015
9:30 a.m.

I, D, A

I. CALL TO ORDER – Chairperson Mr. Frank Bostrom

II. FLAG SALUTE – Mr. Bostrom

III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES – Mr. Bostrom

The minutes of the regular meeting of the County Committee, held on July 1, 2015, will be submitted for approval. (Enclosure)

IV. PRESENTATIONS FROM THE PUBLIC – Secretary Mr. Keith Crafton

Any persons present desiring to address the County Committee on any proper matter may do so at this time. (Form to be completed and submitted to the secretary)

V. COMMUNICATIONS – Secretary Crafton

I, D
VI. PETITION TO TRANSFER TERRITORY FROM THE LAWNDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT (SD) AND THE CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT (HSD) TO THE WISEBURN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (USD) – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will present an update on the petition to transfer territory from the Lawndale SD and Centinela Valley Union HSD to the Wiseburn USD.

VII. UPDATE ON THE CALIFORNIA VOTING RIGHTS ACT (CVRA) AND TRUSTEE AREA ISSUES – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary and staff will provide an update on CVRA issues and activities in Los Angeles County and statewide.

VIII. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – Secretary Crafton

The secretary will provide an update on current legislation and discuss the legislative advocacy process. (Attachment 1)

IX. UPDATE ON COUNTY COMMITTEE WEBSITE – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary and staff will provide an update on the County Committee website.

X. UPDATE ON REVIEW OF COUNTY COMMITTEE POLICIES – Mr. Bostrom

The Chairperson will request a report from the County Committee policy review subcommittee.

XI. UPDATE ON LOS ANGELES USD REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS – Secretary Crafton

The Secretary will provide an update on school district reorganization proposals affecting the Los Angeles USD. (“Summary of Los Angeles USD Reorganization Proposals”). (Attachment 2)
XII. UPDATE ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS, EXCLUDING THOSE AFFECTING THE LOS ANGELES USD – Secretary Crafton
The Secretary will provide an update on school district reorganization proposals affecting Los Angeles County school and community college districts, other than the Los Angeles USD. (“Summary of Los Angeles County School District Reorganization Proposals [excluding those affecting the Los Angeles USD]”). (Attachment 3)

XIII. ADDITIONAL COMMUNICATIONS, CONCERNS, OR ITEMS FOR NEXT AGENDA

XIV. ADJOURNMENT
The Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee) met on Wednesday, July 1, 2015, at the Los Angeles County Office of Education (LACOE) in Downey. The meeting was called to order at 9:30 a.m. by Mr. Frank Bostrom.

Members Present

Frank Bostrom
Nicole Drapkin
Ted Edmiston
Joan Jakubowski
Frank Ogaz
Suzan Solomon
AJ Willmer

Members Absent

Maria Calix
Owen Griffith
John Nunez
Joel Peterson

Staff Present

Keith D. Crafton, Secretary
Allison Deegan, Staff
Eric Hass, Staff
Diane Tayag, Staff
Anna Heredia, Recording Secretary

Mr. Joan Jakubowski led the flag salute.

It was MOVED by Mr. Frank Ogaz and SECONDED by Mr. AJ Willmer that the minutes of the regular meeting held on May 19, 2015 be approved. One abstention, Ms. Joan Jakubowski. Motion carried.

Secretary Keith Crafton stated that we will have representatives from the following districts speak when their agenda item is being discussed: Lawndale School District (SD), Centinela Valley Union HSD, and Wiseburn USD.

Mr. Crafton reviewed and discussed correspondence contained in the County Committee agenda packets and member folders.
The County Committee heard presentations from the petitioners, districts and members of the public on the petition to transfer territory from the Lawndale SD and Centinela Valley Union HSD to the Wiseburn USD.

Dr. Allison Deegan presented a feasibility report on the matter.

Discussion occurred and the Committee voted on the 9 conditions as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequacy in number of students.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Identity.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equitable division of assets and liabilities.</td>
<td>Information needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will not promote ethnic discrimination or segregation.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will not substantially increase costs to the state.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will not significantly disrupt educational programs in their district.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will not result in a significant increase in school housing costs.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal is not primarily designed to substantially increase property values.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will not affect the fiscal management or status of the affected districts.</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After a lengthy discussion, the Committee agreed that more information regarding the fiscal impact of the transfer of territory from Lawndale SD and the Centinela Valley Union High SD to the Wiseburn USD was required.

Information with regards to the permit process was also discussed and it was determined that more information was needed on the subject as well.

Ms. Jakubowski made a motion for preliminary approval of the petition, pending completion of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process, and the gathering of additional fiscal and permit information. Ms. Suzan Solomon seconded the motion. Motion carried with a vote of 6 to 1.
Mr. Crafton stated that Castaic and Saugus school districts have voted to move to trustee areas, these will require public hearings in the future.

Mr. Crafton stated that Santa Clarita Community College District is seeking a waiver from the State Board of Education. The waiver must be submitted to the County Board of Education on behalf of the Santa Clarita CCD to change their election dates and not implement trustee areas. The waiver will come before the County Board of Education on July 7, 2015.

Mr. Bostrom informed the committee that he is in the process of signing a letter addressed to Mr. Saenz, the new Board President, with regards to voter turnout for district board elections.

Mr. Crafton reported on legislation, he stated that there are a number of new bills related to CVRA, and staff will continue to monitor the progress by the State.

Mr. Crafton stated that the website continues to be updated.

A resolution was presented recommending that a policy be created to stagger Committee Member terms for the Second Supervisorial District, currently both seats expire in 2017. Mr. Frank Ogaz made a motion to approve the resolution, Ms. Solomon seconded. Motion carried.

No updates to report.

No updates to report.

It was discussed that there might not be an August 2015 County Committee meeting due to lack of immediate pending business.

Dr. Deegan reported that 2 petitions have been received with reference to Pomona Unified School District moving from 5 to 7 trustee area voting areas.

Mr. Crafton introduced Brian Kovalesky, a doctoral student at UCLA doing research on the history of the County Committee. He presented an update of his work to the Committee.

Meeting was adjourned at 12:07 p.m.
Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization
700 Imperial Highway, Downey, CA 90242-2890

Attachment 1

Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee)
Legislative Review – October 2015

Bill Number/Author:
Assembly Bill 182 / Alejo

Introduction Date:
01/26/15

Last Activity/Date:
09/17/15 - Presented to Governor

Description of Bill
This bill would require a court to implement specified remedies upon a finding that a district-based election was imposed or applied in a manner that impaired the ability of a protected class to elect candidates of its choice. The bill would also direct a court to implement a redistricting plan if it would provide redress, as well as allow the court to increase the size of the governing body upon approval of voters, and/or approving a single member district-based election system that provides the protected class the opportunity to join in a coalition of two or more protected classes to elect candidates of their choice if there is demonstrated political cohesion among the protected classes.

Potential Impact of Bill on Los Angeles County Committee, School District Organization Process and/or Los Angeles County School Districts
This bill would likely result in increased activity related to the California Voting Rights Act, which has had significant impact on school districts statewide.

Recommended Position
Staff recommends the following position:

- **Watch** Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
- **Approve** County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
- **Support** County Committee actively supports the bill.
- **Oppose** County Committee actively opposes the bill.
- **Disapprove** County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would amend the CVRA’s definition of “political subdivision” to expressly include a charter city, charter county, or charter city and county.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

This bill would likely not have a large impact on Los Angeles County school districts.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

- **Watch**: Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
- **Approve**: County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
- **Support**: County Committee actively supports the bill.
- **Oppose**: County Committee actively opposes the bill.
- **Disapprove**: County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
BILL NUMBER/AUTHOR:  
Assembly Bill 278 / Hernandez  

INTRODUCTION DATE:  
02/11/15

LAST ACTIVITY/DATE:  
07/07/15: Failed passage after second Assembly Comm. Hearing; reconsideration granted.

DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would require the legislative body of a general law city with a population of 100,000 or more to adopt an ordinance, without voter approval, for the election of the members of the legislative body to be by district.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

It is not yet clear how this bill would impact Los Angeles County school districts. It may trigger increased activity related to the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA).

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

☐ Watch  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
☐ Approve  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
☐ Support  County Committee actively supports the bill.
☐ Oppose  County Committee actively opposes the bill.
☐ Disapprove  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

Existing law establishes procedures for the reorganization of school districts, including, but not limited to, unifying school districts by consolidating all or part of one or more school districts, as specified. This bill would require the Legislative Analyst's Office (LAO) to conduct a study of potential benefits and impacts of school district unification. The bill would specify topics to be included in the study.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

If the study outlined in the bill is conducted by the LAO, it could provide valuable insights for any district, LACOE or the Los Angeles County Committee on School District Organization (County Committee) in analyzing unification petitions. Much of the bill language focuses on examining the benefits of unification, and that information could be helpful to any school districts considering this move. The County Committee, in its review of unification petitions, is assisted greatly by the availability of neutral analytical information and would likely make great use of the data developed by this study. Further, the County Superintendent of Schools, who serves as the statutory Secretary to the County Committee, may find the information developed by this study to be useful. Another of the points for study emphasized in the bill is the impact of the Local Control Funding Formula on unifications – that issue has been little studied statewide as it has only impacted three districts so far – however, one of those districts is in Los Angeles County (Wiseburn Unified School District).

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

- **Watch**  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
- **Approve** County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
- **Support** County Committee actively supports the bill.
- **Oppose** County Committee actively opposes the bill.
- **Disapprove** County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
**BILL NUMBER/AUTHOR:**
Assembly Bill 803 / Hadley

**INTRODUCTION DATE:** 02/26/15

**LAST ACTIVITY/DATE:** 04/29/15 – Failed passage at hearing; reconsideration granted

### DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would establish separate procedural requirements for an action to form a new school district within the boundaries of a single school district within a single county. This bill would authorize an action to be initiated by a petition signed by at least 10% of the number of qualified electors who voted in the last gubernatorial election and who reside within the boundaries of the proposed school district, or by resolution of a local agency. The bill would require the county board of education to hold a public hearing. [emphasis added]

### POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE,
SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES
COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

This bill as written could potentially substantially impact the school district organization process.

### RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

- **Watch**
  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.

- **Approve**
  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.

- **Support**
  County Committee actively supports the bill.

- **Oppose**
  County Committee actively opposes the bill.

- **Disapprove**
  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would establish a state preclearance system for electoral procedures. Under this system, if a covered political subdivision, as defined, enacts or seeks to administer a voting-related law, policy, or regulation, as specified, that is different from that in force or effect on the date this act is enacted, the governing body of the covered political subdivision would be required to submit the law, regulation, or policy to the Secretary of State for approval. The bill would require the Secretary of State to approve the law, regulation, or policy only if specified conditions are met, and it may not take effect unless approved.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

The bill would likely impact districts seeking to change their governance structure and/or voting procedures.

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

- **Watch**  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
- **Approve**  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
- **Support**  County Committee actively supports the bill.
- **Oppose**  County Committee actively opposes the bill.
- **Disapprove**  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.
DESCRIPTION OF BILL

This bill would authorize the legislative body of a city with 100,000 or fewer in population to adopt an ordinance that requires the members of the body to be elected by district or by district with an elective mayor without being required to submit the ordinance to the voters for approval.

POTENTIAL IMPACT OF BILL ON LOS ANGELES COUNTY COMMITTEE, SCHOOL DISTRICT ORGANIZATION PROCESS AND/OR LOS ANGELES COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICTS

It is not yet clear how this bill would impact Los Angeles County school districts. It may trigger increased activity related to the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA).

RECOMMENDED POSITION

Staff recommends the following position:

☑ Watch  Bill should be monitored by County Committee staff, but no action taken at this time.
☐ Approve  County Committee supports the bill’s concept, but will not actively work for passage.
☐ Support  County Committee actively supports the bill.
☐ Oppose  County Committee actively opposes the bill.
☐ Disapprove  County Committee disapproves of the bill’s concept, but will not actively oppose passage.

AMENDMENTS REQUIRED

If staff’s recommended position is based on the need for amendments to the bill language, suggested alternative language is attached.
CORRESPONDENCE REQUIRED

If staff’s recommended position is based on the need for correspondence to the bill’s author, the Governor or other governmental officials, a draft of suggested language is attached.

Please direct all comments to Mr. Keith D. Crafton, Secretary to the County Committee at (562) 922-6144.
Summary of Los Angeles Unified School District Reorganization Proposals

October 2015

The following is a summary of school district reorganization proposals affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District (USD) that were at various stages in the school district organization process as of September 22, 2015.

RECENT INQUIRIES REGARDING REORGANIZATION (within the last two years)

Formation Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None

Transfer of Territory Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None
Summary of Los Angeles County School District Reorganization Proposals
(Excluding those affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District)

October 2015

The following is a summary of school district reorganization proposals [exclusive of those affecting the Los Angeles Unified School District (USD)] that are at various stages in the school district reorganization process as of September 22, 2015.

PETITION TO TRANSFER TERRITORY FROM THE CENTINELA VALLEY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT (HSD) AND LAWNDALE SD TO THE WISEBURN USD

On May 15, 2014, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §35700, to transfer territory from the Centinela Valley Union HSD and the Lawndale SD to the Wiseburn USD. The request was submitted by chief petitioners Ms. Shavonda Webber-Christmas and Mr. Bill Magoon. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine its legal compliance regarding format and content. On June 20, 2014, County Counsel deemed the petition sufficient. Staff returned the petition to the chief petitioners on June 23, 2014.

On October 15, 2014, the chief petitioners submitted signed petitions for review. On October 15, 2014, staff conveyed the signed petitions to the Registrar-Recorder for signature verification. On November 6, 2014, staff received notice from the Registrar-Recorder that there were insufficient signatures to move the petition forward. Staff notified the chief petitioners, who elected to gather additional signatures. On December 4, 2014, the chief petitioners submitted additional signatures, which were submitted to the Registrar-Recorder on December 5, 2014 for signature verification. On December 22, 2014, the Registrar Recorder notified staff that the additional signatures were validated, and the petition did have sufficient signatures to move forward.

The petition was presented to the County Committee on January 7, 2015. Two public hearings were held March 2, 2015, one in each of the affected districts. A feasibility study was presented on July 8, 2015, at which time the County Committee preliminarily approved the transfer, pending further collection and review of additional fiscal data, as well as an environmental review.

Status: Additional financial review and environmental study to be conducted.
Status Date:  *September 22, 2015*
FORMATION—MALIBU USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE SANTA MONICA-MALIBU USD)

On July 23, 2015, LACOE received a request for a petition from chief petitioner Mr. Seth Jacobson, a community member who is a Malibu resident. Mr. Jacobson, along with two other chief petitioners, wants to form a separate Malibu USD from territory within the boundaries of the existing Santa Monica-Malibu USD.

Staff reviewed the request and forwarded a draft petition to County Counsel on July 27, 2015, for a legal compliance review regarding format and content. We received notification on July 30, 2015, from County Counsel informing us that the draft petition was legally acceptable. The petition was mailed to the chief petitioner on July 31, 2015, for circulation within the petition area. On September 17, 2015, staff presented information on unification at a meeting of the Santa Monica-Malibu USD, governing board, at the board’s invitation.

Status: Petition in circulation.
Status Date: September 22, 2015

PETITION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES FROM FIVE TO SEVEN WITHIN THE POMONA USD

On April 8, 2015, LACOE received a request for a petition pursuant to EC §5020 to increase the number of trustees from five to seven within the Pomona USD. The request was submitted by chief petitioner Mr. John Mendoza. The petition was forwarded to County Counsel to determine its legal compliance regarding format and content. On April 27, 2015, County Counsel deemed the petition sufficient. Staff returned the petition to the chief petitioner on April 29, 2015, for circulation.

Please note that this is a separate petition, distinct from the other petitions requested by Mr. Mendoza, and requests some of the same changes within the Pomona Unified School District, (the addition of two governing board members). It was submitted under EC §5020(c) and, based on the number of registered voters in the Pomona USD, requires valid signatures from at least 10% of the registered voters within the petition area. If valid and certified by the County Committee, this petition would trigger a vote within the district, before which the County Committee may choose to hold one or more public hearings on the proposal.

Status: Petitioner is gathering signatures.
Status Date: May 26, 2015
FORMATION—ALTADENA USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE PASADENA USD)

On January 17, 2006, LACOE received a request for a petition from chief petitioners Ms. Maurice Morse, Ms. Shirlee Smith, and Mr. Bruce Wasson, three community members who are residents of the area known as Altadena. The chief petitioners want to form an Altadena USD from territory within the boundaries of the Pasadena USD. The petition request was returned to the chief petitioners on January 20, 2006, because it lacked an adequate description of the area pursuant to EC §35700.3.

On February 10, 2006, LACOE received a revised request for a petition. Staff reviewed the request and forwarded a draft petition to County Counsel on February 22, 2006, for a legal compliance review regarding format and content. We received notification on March 6, 2006, from County Counsel informing us that the draft petition was legally acceptable.

On March 7, 2006, staff forwarded the draft petition to the Registrar-Recorder for verification that the description of the proposed boundaries of the Altadena USD was sufficiently clear (so that registered voters residing within the proposed petition area could be identified with specificity). The Registrar-Recorder confirmed that the description was sufficient on March 10, 2006.

The petition was mailed to the chief petitioners on March 14, 2006, for circulation within the petition area. The Registrar-Recorder estimated the chief petitioners will need to collect approximately 7,000 valid signatures in order to meet the criteria set forth in EC §35700(a).

On September 23, 2010, chief petitioners delivered signed petitions to LACOE. Staff submitted the petitions to the Registrar-Recorder on September 27, 2010, for signature verification. On October 22, 2010, the Registrar-Recorder notified staff that there were insufficient valid signatures (less than the required 25 percent of the registered voters within the petition area). Staff notified the chief petitioners of the insufficiency, and at Mr. Wasson’s request, returned the petitions to the Registrar-Recorder for a signature audit. Staff also advised the chief petitioner regarding the collection of additional signatures. Upon notification by the Registrar-Recorder of a sufficient number of valid signatures, staff will present the petition to the County Committee at the next regular meeting.

On January 4, 2011, staff conferred with a representative from the Registrar-Recorder’s office, who informed us that no audit of petition signatures had been done yet, and they clarified the cost of signature verification. On February 15 and March 1, 2011, staff contacted the Registrar-Recorder and were informed that the signature audit had still not been done. On May 12, 2011, staff from the Registrar-Recorder’s office advised LACOE that an audit of the petition’s signatures was underway. On November 28, 2011, the chief
petitioner Mr. Wasson notified LACOE of the death of one of the co-chief petitioners, Ms. Morse. Mr. Wasson stated that another chief petitioner would not be named.

In August of 2014, staff confirmed that petitioner is still interested in collecting additional signatures.

Status: Petition insufficient; chief petitioners may gather additional signatures.
Status Date: December 5, 2011

FORMATION—MALIBU USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE SANTA MONICA-MALIBU USD)

Status: Petition in circulation.
Status Date: February 21, 2008

FORMATION—LA MIRADA USD (CURRENTLY LIES WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF THE NORWALK – LA MIRADA USD)

Status: Petition in circulation.
Status Date: March 20, 2007

Unification Proposals/Last Activity Date

- None

Transfer of Territory Proposals/Last Activity Date

- *Monrovia USD to Arcadia USD/September 2015
- *Glendale USD to La Canada USD/September 2015
- Pasadena USD to La Canada USD/April 2013
- Temple City USD to Arcadia USD/March 2012

Formation Proposals/Last Activity Date

- *Malibu USD (Santa Monica-Malibu USD)/September 2015

Trustee Areas and Governing Board Size/Last Activity Date

- Pomona USD / June 2015

* = indicates activity since last meeting

This document was prepared by staff to the County Committee.