Linking research with practice: A local assessment toolkit to guide school leaders
Exploring cognitive rigor in curriculum, instruction, and assessment

Introduction

The Common Core State Standards expect students to demonstrate deep conceptual understanding through the application of content knowledge and skills in new situations; however, “the specific content standards provide limited guidance as to how, when, or to what degree specific skills should be emphasized by educators in the classroom. Without a clear direction and use of rich, engaging learning tasks, important college and career readiness (CCR) skills and dispositions will be, at best, inconsistently or randomly addressed by teachers, or forgotten in the design of system-wide programs, curriculum, and instruction. What gets tested is what gets instructional attention. If assessments of CCR standards only test acquisition and basic application of academic skills and concepts, there will be little incentive for schools to focus instruction and assessment on deeper understanding and transfer of learning to new and authentic (real-world) contexts” (Hess & Gong, 2013, p.15).

Cognitive rigor encompasses the complexity of content, the cognitive engagement with that content, and the depth and scope of the planned learning activities. The Hess Cognitive Rigor Matrix (CRM) is a tool that was developed to enhance assessment planning and instructional practices at the classroom level (Hess, Carlock, Jones, & Walkup, 2009). The CRM superimposes two different cognitive complexity frameworks – Bloom’s (Revised) Taxonomy and Webb’s Depth of Knowledge levels – to produce a means of analyzing the emphasis placed on curricular materials, instructional focus, and classroom assessment. Bloom’s Taxonomy categorizes the cognitive skills required of the brain to perform a task, describing the “type of thinking processes” necessary to answer a question or complete a task. Webb’s Depth of Knowledge, on the other hand, relates more closely to the depth of content understanding and scope of a learning activity, which manifests in the skills required to complete a complex task from inception to finale (e.g., planning, researching, and drawing conclusions based on research). Each intersection of Bloom-Webb in the CRM provides a focus on differing complexity and engagement and offers a range of choices when planning instruction.

Merging Bloom with Webb

In 1956, Bloom’s original taxonomy was developed as a way to classify intellectual behaviors important in learning and assessment. In 2001, Bloom’s revised taxonomy applied two dimensions - cognitive processes (the verbs) and the knowledge (the nouns) used – in order to articulate educational objectives. This restructuring of the original taxonomy recognizes the importance of the interaction between the content taught – characterized by factual, conceptual, procedural, and metacognitive knowledge – and the thought processes used in learning. Still, even the revised version of Bloom has some shortcomings: sometimes verbs/processes can seem similar in differing levels; and thinking process, even at higher levels, do not necessarily translate to deeper understanding of content. Compare-contrast (DOK 2) can be a much “lighter” form of analysis than analysis of theme development in one (DOK 3) or more texts (DOK 4). Most importantly, Bloom’s levels are somewhat generic to different content areas; yet we know that analyzing in reading, for example, does not require the same organizational schemas and mental engagement as analyzing in mathematics or science or the arts.

Webb’s Depth of knowledge (DOK) levels, therefore, form another important perspective of cognitive complexity (Webb, 1997, 2002). Webb describes his DOK framework as “nominative” rather than a taxonomy. DOK levels name four different ways students interact with content. Each level is dependent upon how deeply students understand and engage with the content in order to respond, not simply the type of thinking (verb) used. The Webb levels do not necessarily indicate degree of “difficulty” in that Level 1 can ask students to recall or restate simple or much more complex information, the latter being more difficult. Conversely, deeper understanding of a concept is required to be able to explain how/why a concept works (DOK 2), apply it to real-world phenomena with justification and supporting evidence (DOK 3), or to integrate one concept with other concepts or other perspectives (DOK 4) to produce novel ideas or solutions. DOK descriptors in the CRMs provide content-specific examples that illustrate how students might move towards deeper understanding with more complex or abstract content.
Some Key Ideas

- Bloom's dimensions identify types of thinking that CAN become deeper when matched with increasingly more complex content: deeper application, deeper understanding, deeper analysis, etc.

- DOK descriptors reference the complexity of mental processing needed to answer a question, perform a task, or generate a product.

- An activity that aligns to a particular DOK level is not always "easier" than an activity that aligns to a DOK level above it. Complexity and difficulty are NOT the same. Difficulty refers to how easy or hard something is. An example: Once someone learns the "rules" of how to add, they should be able to add any numbers. Adding $4 + 4$ is DOK 1 and is also easy to do. Adding $4,678,895 + 9,578,885$ is still a DOK 1 but may be more "difficult." Or, a task where students recite a simple fact or a much more complex abstract theory are both DOK 1, even though the abstract theory is much more difficult to memorize and restate. Neither task asks for much depth of understanding of the content.

- The complexity of both the content (e.g., text complexity, number of texts) and the task are used to determine the DOK levels, not the grade level or innate ability of students.

- Verbs alone do not determine the complexity level of a task. DOK focus is on how deeply students need to know and interact with content to be able to generate a specific type of response. It is what comes after the verb that indicates complexity.

- If there is a question regarding which of two levels a standard addresses, such as Level 1 vs. Level 2, or Level 2 vs. Level 3, it is appropriate to assign the highest level as the "DOK ceiling" for the task, but also provide opportunities at the lower DOK levels as an instructional progression (e.g., summarizing a text/DOK 2 before analyzing a text/DOK 3; making observations/DOK 2 before drawing conclusions in an investigation/DOK 3) (Hess, 2004-2006).

- Descriptors in the CRM offer a common language to analyze the "rigor," or cognitive demand, in assessments, curricular units, lessons, and learning tasks across teachers, classrooms, and schools.

- Descriptors in the CRM provide educators a more sophisticated lens to systematically guide the creation of more cognitively engaging and challenging tasks.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOK Level Descriptions</th>
<th>Teacher’s Role</th>
<th>Student’s Role</th>
<th>Sample Tasks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong> Recall &amp; Reproduction requires recognition of facts, information, such as a fact, definition, term, principle, or performance of a simple process or procedure. Responding to a Level 1 task or question involves following a well-known rule, procedure, or formula. You either know it, or you don’t know it.</td>
<td>- Questions to direct or focus attention (Who? What? Where? How? When?) - Directs, leads, demonstrates, defines - Examines, breaks down - Uses concrete objects, nonverbal and visual cues to teach concepts, procedures, and vocabulary - Builds background knowledge to build upon later - Provides resources and procedures - Uses mentor texts as unambiguous models</td>
<td>- Learns rules (spells, decodes, edits for grammar, usage, mechanics, principles of design) - Learns processes (order of operations, evaluates expression, measures, key word searches) - Acquires vocabulary, facts - Memorizes, recites, quotes - Practices, restates - Locates/retrieves information - Identifies/names parts - Reports/shares solutions/findings</td>
<td>- Reads orally, reads fluently - Draws/labels/arts to illustrate an event, parts of the whole, phases in a cycle - Writes a variety of sentences - Represents math/line arts relationships with words, symbols, objects, visuals - Recalls math facts, terms, dates, formulas, rules - Calculates, measures, follows steps - Uses tools, records data - Reads or reproduces maps, diagrams - Highlights key words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong> Basic Application of Skills/Concepts requires engagement of some mental processing beyond recall or reproduction – basic comprehension and subsequent processing of content. Students apply more than one concept and make some decisions about how to approach the question or problem, what tools to use, and how ideas relate.</td>
<td>- Questions to differentiate/classify, draw out inferences, check conceptual understanding (Why? What conditions? Give example?/) - Provides examples and non-examples to build conceptual understanding - Provides graphic organizers to show relationships or organizational schemas - Matches readers with texts - “Thinks aloud” to explore possible options or connections</td>
<td>- Explains relationships, sorts, classifies, compares - Makes predictions based on observations, estimates, proposes - Compiles and organizes information - Distinguishes relevant-irrelevant, fact-opinion, example-non-example - Selects appropriate strategy and applies it - Explains steps taken to complete a task</td>
<td>- Solves routine, multi-step math word problems - Makes science observations, organizes data (graph, table, spreadsheet, etc.) - Writes a caption, paragraph, summary - Creates a timeline of events - Makes and uses models - Interprets simple graphics, tables, etc. - Retrieves information and uses it to answer a question or solve a problem - Creates survey to research a topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong> Strategic Thinking/Reasoning gets at deeper understanding of concepts within novel or new contexts. Students develop their reasoning underlying an interpretation, generalization, or connection, and provide supporting evidence for judgments made. Cognitive demands are more complex and abstract, often with more than one possible answer or approach.</td>
<td>- Questions to probe reasoning and underlying thinking (How do you know? What is the evidence? But what if? Is this supported by the facts?) - Asks open-ended questions - Encourages varied approaches - Acts as a resource, coach, mentor - Provides criteria for making judgments - Guides how and what materials encourage in-depth explorations - Models and scaffolds complex thinking</td>
<td>- Uncovers relevant, accurate, and credible information - Uncovers flaws in a design - Develops supporting evidence for conclusions or claims - Tests ideas, predictions, hypotheses - Transfers knowledge to solve non-routine problems - Revises work to establish a progression of ideas or chain of reasoning</td>
<td>- Interprets complex graphics, tables, etc. - Sets up a data base - Conducts a designed investigation - Develops both sides of a fact-based argument for debate or speech - Creates a website, podcast, multi-media presentation matched to purpose - Critiques an essay, performance, or novel, using discipline-based criteria - Analyzes theme, perspective, author's craft in a piece of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 4</strong> Extended Thinking requires complex reasoning, planning, and designing own research focus, probably over an extended time. Tasks require significant conceptual understanding and application of skills across disciplines, using multiple sources or resources.</td>
<td>- Questions to extend thinking, explore sources, broaden perspectives (What are the potential biases? Can you propose an alternative? Can you design a model? What is the importance/value?) - Facilitates teaming, collaboration, self-monitoring - Models and scaffolds integrating sources</td>
<td>- Initiates learning focus and structures tasks needed to complete complex projects - Locates relevant and credible mentors and resources - Transfers and constructs knowledge - Modifies, creates, elaborates - Investigates real-world problems and issues - Revises work to establish a progression of ideas or chain of reasoning</td>
<td>- Produces a short film, play, or short story based on a theme or issue - Designs own research or investigation as an extension of concepts or issues studied - Critiques importance of policies or events from different perspectives (e.g., historical, social, economic) - Analyzes theme, perspectives, authors' craft across multiple pieces of work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Hess Cognitive Rigor Matrix (Reading CRM): Applying Webb’s Depth-of-Knowledge Levels to Bloom’s Cognitive Process Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised Bloom’s Taxonomy</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 1: Recall &amp; Reproduction</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 2: Skills &amp; Concepts</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 3: Strategic Thinking/Reasoning</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 4: Extended Thinking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Remember**             | o Recall, recognize, or locate basic facts, terms, details, events, or ideas explicit in texts  
                               o Read words orally in connected text with fluency & accuracy | o Specify, explain, show relationships; explain why (e.g., cause-effect)  
                                          o Give non-examples/examples  
                                          o Summarize results, concepts, ideas  
                                          o Make basic inferences or logical predictions from data or texts  
                                          o Identify main ideas or accurate generalizations of texts  
                                          o Locate information to support explicit-implicit central ideas | o Explain, generalize, or connect ideas using supporting evidence (quote, example, text reference)  
                                          o Identify/make inferences about explicit or implicit themes  
                                          o Describe how word choice, point of view, or bias may affect the readers’ interpretation of a text  
                                          o Write multi-paragraph composition for specific purpose, focus, voice, tone, & audience | o Explain how concepts or ideas specifically relate to other content domains (e.g., social, political, historical) or concepts  
                                          o Develop generalizations of the results obtained or strategies used and apply them to new problem-based situations |
| **Understand**            | o Identify or describe literary elements (characters, setting, sequence, etc.)  
                               o Select appropriate words when intended meaning/definition is clearly evident  
                               o Describe/explain who, what, where, when, or how  
                               o Define/describe facts, details, terms, principles  
                               o Write simple sentences | o Use context to identify the meaning of words/phrases  
                                           o Obtain and interpret information using text features  
                                           o Develop a text that may be limited to one paragraph  
                                           o Apply simple organizational structures (paragraph, sentence types) in writing | o Apply a concept in a new context  
                                           o Revise final draft for meaning or progression of ideas  
                                           o Apply internal consistency of text organization and structure to composing a full composition  
                                           o Apply word choice, point of view, style to impact readers’/viewers’ interpretation of a text | o Illustrate how multiple themes (historical, geographic, social, artistic, literary) may be interrelated  
                                           o Select or devise an approach among many alternatives to research a novel problem |
| **Apply**                 | o Use language structure (pre/suffix) or word relationships (synonym/antonym) to determine meaning of words  
                               o Apply rules or resources to edit spelling, grammar, punctuation, conventions, word use  
                               o Apply basic formats for documenting sources | o Use a text to support a claim  
                                           o Develop a text (one paragraph)  
                                           o Apply simple organizational structures (paragraph, sentence types) in writing  
                                           o Apply a concept in a new context  
                                           o Revise final draft for meaning or progression of ideas  
                                           o Apply internal consistency of text organization and structure to composing a full composition  
                                           o Apply word choice, point of view, style to impact readers’/viewers’ interpretation of a text | o Apply a concept in a new context  
                                           o Revise final draft for meaning or progression of ideas  
                                           o Apply internal consistency of text organization and structure to composing a full composition  
                                           o Apply word choice, point of view, style to impact readers’/viewers’ interpretation of a text | o Illustrate how multiple themes (historical, geographic, social, artistic, literary) may be interrelated  
                                           o Select or devise an approach among many alternatives to research a novel problem |
| **Analyze**               | o Identify whether specific information is contained in graphic representations (e.g., map, chart, table, graph, T-chart, diagram) or text features (e.g., headings, subheadings, captions)  
                               o Decide which text structure is appropriate to audience and purpose | o Categorize/compare literary elements, terms, facts/details, events  
                                           o Identify use of literary devices  
                                           o Analyze format, organization, and internal text structure (signal words, transitions, semantic cues) of different texts  
                                           o Distinguish: relevant–irrelevant information; fact/opinion  
                                           o Identify characteristic text features; distinguish between texts, genres | o Apply a concept in a new context  
                                           o Revise final draft for meaning or progression of ideas  
                                           o Apply internal consistency of text organization and structure to composing a full composition  
                                           o Apply word choice, point of view, style to impact readers’/viewers’ interpretation of a text | o Apply a concept in a new context  
                                           o Revise final draft for meaning or progression of ideas  
                                           o Apply internal consistency of text organization and structure to composing a full composition  
                                           o Apply word choice, point of view, style to impact readers’/viewers’ interpretation of a text | o Illustrate how multiple themes (historical, geographic, social, artistic, literary) may be interrelated  
                                           o Select or devise an approach among many alternatives to research a novel problem |
| **Evaluate**              | "UG" – unsubstantiated generalizations  
                               = stating an opinion without providing any support for it!  
                               o Check, detect inconsistencies or fallacies, judge, critique | o Cite evidence and develop a logical argument for conjetures  
                                           o Describe, compare, and contrast solution methods  
                                           o Verify reasonableness of results  
                                           o Justify or critique conclusions drawn | o Cite evidence and develop a logical argument for conjetures  
                                           o Describe, compare, and contrast solution methods  
                                           o Verify reasonableness of results  
                                           o Justify or critique conclusions drawn | o Cite evidence and develop a logical argument for conjetures  
                                           o Describe, compare, and contrast solution methods  
                                           o Verify reasonableness of results  
                                           o Justify or critique conclusions drawn | o Cite evidence and develop a logical argument for conjetures  
                                           o Describe, compare, and contrast solution methods  
                                           o Verify reasonableness of results  
                                           o Justify or critique conclusions drawn |
| **Create**               | o Brainstorm ideas, concepts, problems, or perspectives related to a topic, principle, or concept | o Generate conjectures or hypotheses based on observations or prior knowledge and experience  
                                           o Synthesize information within one source or text  
                                           o Develop a complex model for a given situation  
                                           o Develop an alternative solution | o Synthesize information within one source or text  
                                           o Develop a complex model for a given situation  
                                           o Develop an alternative solution | o Synthesize information across multiple sources or texts  
                                           o Articulate a new voice, alternate theme, new knowledge or perspective | o Synthesize information across multiple sources or texts  
                                           o Articulate a new voice, alternate theme, new knowledge or perspective |
## Revised Bloom’s Taxonomy

### Webb’s DOK Level 1: Recall & Reproduction
- **Remember**
  - Retrieve knowledge from long-term memory, recognize, recall, locate, identify
  - Recall/identify conversions among representations or numbers (e.g., customary and metric measures)
  - Evaluate an expression
  - Locate points on a grid or number on number line
  - Solve a one-step problem
  - Represent math relationships in words, pictures, or symbols
  - Read, write, compare decimals in customary and metric measures

### Webb’s DOK Level 2: Skills & Concepts
- **Understand**
  - Construct meaning, clarify, paraphrase, represent, translate, illustrate, give examples, classify, categorize, summarize, generalize, infer a logical conclusion, predict, compare/contrast, match like ideas, explain, construct models
  - Specify and explain relationships (e.g., non-examples/examples, cause-effect)
  - Make and record observations
  - Explain steps followed
  - Summarize results or concepts
  - Make basic inferences or logical predictions from data/observations
  - Use models/diagrams to represent or explain mathematical concepts

### Webb’s DOK Level 3: Strategic Thinking/Reasoning
- **Apply**
  - Carry out or use a procedure in a given situation; carry out (apply to a familiar task), or use (apply) to an unfamiliar task
  - Follow simple procedures (recipe-type directions)
  - Calculate, measure, apply a rule (e.g., rounding)
  - Apply algorithm or formula (e.g., area, perimeter)
  - Solve linear equations
  - Make conversions among representations or numbers, or within and between customary and metric measures

### Webb’s DOK Level 4: Extended Thinking
- **Analyze**
  - Break into constituent parts, determine how parts relate, differentiate between relevant-irrelevant, distinguish, focus, select, organize, outline, find coherence, deconstruct
  - Retrieve information from a table or graph to answer a question
  - Identify whether specific information is contained in graphic representations (e.g., table, graph, T-chart, diagram)
  - Identify a pattern/trend
  - Categorize, classify materials, data, figures based on characteristics
  - Organize or order data
  - Compare/contrast figures or data
  - Select appropriate graph and organize & display data
  - Interpret data from a simple graph
  - Construct models given criteria

### Use these Hess CRM curricular examples with most mathematics or science assignments or assessments.

- **Evaluate**
  - Make judgments based on criteria, check, detect inconsistencies or fallacies, judge, critique
  - "UG" – unsubstantiated generalizations = stating an opinion without providing any support for it!
  - Compare information within or across data sets or texts
  - Analyze and draw conclusions from data, citing evidence
  - Generalize a pattern
  - Interpret data from complex graph
  - Synthesize information within one data set, source, or text

- **Create**
  - Reorganize elements into new patterns/structures, generate, hypothesize, design, plan, produce
  - Generate conjectures or hypotheses based on observations or prior knowledge and experience
  - Synthesize information across multiple sources or texts
  - Design a mathematical model to inform and solve a practical or abstract situation

### Revised Bloom’s Taxonomy
- **Heuristic**: Webb’s Depth-of-Knowledge Levels to Bloom’s Cognitive Process Dimensions


### TOOL 2

- **Recall & Reproduction**
  - o Recall, observe, & recognize facts, principles, properties
  - o Recall/identify conversions among representations or numbers (e.g., customary and metric measures)

- **Skills & Concepts**
  - o Specify and explain relationships (e.g., non-examples/examples, cause-effect)
  - o Make and record observations
  - o Explain steps followed
  - o Summarize results or concepts

- **Strategic Thinking/Reasoning**
  - o Use concepts to solve non-routine problems
  - o Explain, generalize, or connect ideas using supporting evidence
  - o Make and justify conjectures

- **Extended Thinking**
  - o Relate mathematical or scientific concepts to other content areas, other domains, or other concepts
  - o Develop generalizations of the results obtained and the strategies used (from investigation or readings) and apply them to new problem situations
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HESS COGNITIVE RIGOR MATRIX (WRITING/SPEAKING CRM):
Applying Webb’s Depth-of-Knowledge Levels to Bloom’s Cognitive Process Dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised Bloom’s Taxonomy</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 1</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 2</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 3</th>
<th>Webb’s DOK Level 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remember</strong></td>
<td>Complete short answer questions with facts, details, terms, principles, etc. (e.g., label parts of diagram)</td>
<td>Specify, explain, show relationships; explain why, cause-effect</td>
<td>Write a multi-paragraph composition for specific purpose, focus, voice, tone, &amp; audience</td>
<td>Use multiple sources to elaborate on how concepts or ideas specifically draw from other content domains or differing concepts (e.g., research paper, arguments of policy – should this law be passed? What will be the impact of this change?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Understand</strong></td>
<td>Describe or define facts, details, terms, principles, etc.</td>
<td>Provide and explain non-examples and examples</td>
<td>Develop and explain opposing perspectives or connect ideas, principles, or concepts using supporting evidence (quote, example, text reference, etc.)</td>
<td>Develop generalizations about the results obtained or strategies used and apply them to a new problem or contextual scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apply</strong></td>
<td>Apply rules or use resources to edit specific spelling, grammar, punctuation, conventions, or word use</td>
<td>Use context to identify/infer the intended meaning of words/phrases</td>
<td>Revise final draft for meaning, progression of ideas, or logic chain</td>
<td>Select or devise an approach among many alternatives to research and present a novel problem or issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analyze</strong></td>
<td>Decide which text structure is appropriate to audience and purpose (e.g., compare-contrast, proposition-support)</td>
<td>Compare/contrast perspectives, events, characters, etc.</td>
<td>Analyze interrelationships among concepts/issues/problems in a text</td>
<td>Analyze multiple sources of evidence, or multiple works by the same author, or across genres, or time periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluate</strong></td>
<td>Make judgments based on criteria, check, detect inconsistencies or fallacies, judge, critique</td>
<td>Analyze impact or use of author’s craft (literary devices, viewpoint, dialogue) in a single text</td>
<td>Use reasoning and evidence to generate criteria for making and supporting an argument of judgment (Was FDR a great president? Who was the greatest ball player?)</td>
<td>Analyze complex/abstract themes, perspectives, concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Create</strong></td>
<td>Brainstorm facts, ideas, concepts, problems, or perspectives related to a topic, text, idea, issue, or concept</td>
<td>Generate conjectures, hypotheses, or predictions based on facts, observations, evidence/observations, or prior knowledge and experience</td>
<td>Develop a complex model for a given situation or problem</td>
<td>Synthesize information across multiple sources or texts in order to articulate a new voice, alternate theme, new knowledge or nuanced perspective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use these Hess CRM curricular examples with most writing and oral communication assignments or assessments in any content area.
### CURRICULAR EXAMPLES ILLUSTRATING WRITING TYPES
(Use with CRM Tools)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Topics</th>
<th>Informational Writing</th>
<th>Arguments of Fact</th>
<th>Arguments of Judgment</th>
<th>Arguments of Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDR</td>
<td>Who was he? What did he do (e.g., major initiatives)? What were the historical, social, and political contexts when he became president? How does history remember him?</td>
<td>FDR had tremendous support from the Jewish community throughout his presidency; however critics say he did not do much to support them and their issues. Are these criticisms supported by the historical facts within the historical context?</td>
<td>Was FDR a great president? Should … be named as one TIME’s 100 most influential people of (year)?</td>
<td>What has been the historical impact of a major FDR policy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabinet appointments</td>
<td>What does the Constitution say about cabinet appointments and requirements for cabinet positions? What does the (labor secretary) do?</td>
<td>Is Tom Perez qualified to be the next labor secretary? What additional factors, if any, should be considered in making this appointment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart guns (emerging technology that will only fire when recognizing the gun owner)</td>
<td>What are they? How do they work? What do they cost? Who is developing them? Have they been tested?</td>
<td>Do smart guns have the potential to reduce gun violence in this country?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gun violence</td>
<td>What is gun violence? What do we know about gun violence (e.g., most likely victims) in the US and in other countries (and its impact) over the past 50 years? What are the current and proposed laws related to gun violence? How do gun laws vary from state to state? How have gun laws changed over the years?</td>
<td>Do the current concerns about increased gun violence and the status of gun violence in this country warrant the need for new laws? Will this law …… address current gun violence issues? What factors should be considered when developing new gun violence legislation (common good-individual rights)</td>
<td>Is this law ……… a fair law? Is this law ……… needed? Is this law ……… outdated? Do we need another law……?</td>
<td>Should this law …….. be passed? What problems does it address? What are the implications if it is or is not passed?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CURRICULAR EXAMPLES ILLUSTRATING WRITING TYPES
(Use with CRM Tools)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Topics</th>
<th>Informational Writing</th>
<th>Arguments of Fact</th>
<th>Arguments of Judgment</th>
<th>Arguments of Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Text(s) read &amp; Movies viewed</strong></td>
<td>Sample Prompts to illustrate how informational writing differs from opinions-arguments of fact</td>
<td>Sample Writing Prompts (must support reasons/reasoning with facts/evidence)</td>
<td>Sample Writing Prompts (must define criteria for decision using facts/evidence)</td>
<td>Sample Writing Prompts (must define the problem/issue and implications using facts/evidence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELA and Literacy</strong></td>
<td>What is this topic/story/text about? How does this compare with other texts written by this author or other texts on the same topic? Or from the same time period? Or same genre? What is (nonfiction, poetry, fantasy, etc.)? How is it different from or similar to other genre of writing? Lincoln: who was he? What did he do (e.g., major initiatives)? What were the historical, social, and political contexts when he became president? How does history remember him?</td>
<td>Is this text written in the same style as …? Is the information in this article accurate? What is the author's message/theme/perspective? If the ending were changed (to …), how would this impact the overall theme? What historical/social/political events of the time are likely to have influenced this author's writing?</td>
<td>Is rap music poetry? Is this (still) a compelling message for our time? What is Lincoln's legacy?</td>
<td>Is this movie (Lincoln) historically accurate? Do the inaccuracies affect the overall theme or viewer interpretation of history?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Holidays, celebrations, traditions</strong></td>
<td>What are they? Who celebrates them? How? When? Are the same holidays celebrated in all countries (e.g., Thanksgiving)? How does a holiday become a national holiday (MLK Day, Presidents' Day, etc.)?</td>
<td>What is your favorite – or not so favorite holiday?</td>
<td>Should … become a national holiday? Has commercialization coinciding with national holidays caused people to forget why we celebrate them in the first place (shopping versus honoring a hero)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Topics</td>
<td>Informational Writing</td>
<td>Arguments of Fact</td>
<td>Arguments of Judgment</td>
<td>Arguments of Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biomes</strong></td>
<td>Sample Prompts to illustrate how informational writing differs from opinions-arguments of fact</td>
<td>Sample Writing Prompts (must support reasons/reasoning with facts/evidence)</td>
<td>Sample Writing Prompts (must define criteria for decision using facts/evidence)</td>
<td>Sample Writing Prompts (must define the problem/issue and implications using facts/evidence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Habitats/ Biomes</strong></td>
<td>What is (a desert, rain forest, tundra, grassland, etc.)? What is the climate like? Where do you find them? What are some characteristics of the habitat? What are some organisms that live there? How have they adapted to be able to live there? How does one habitat (biome) compare with another?</td>
<td>Would this animal be able to survive in …… habitat? Why or why not? How would life/survival needs be different for … if moving from … to ……?</td>
<td>What is the most appropriate habitat for this organism? Would …. be able to survive here?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analyzing Equations</strong></td>
<td>Compare/contrast fresh and salt water habitats. What do organisms need to survive here? What laws currently exist to protect fish habitats?</td>
<td>What factors might be causing the fish population to decline?</td>
<td>Is this river/lake/ocean healthy?</td>
<td>Do we need a new law…? What will be the likely impact of this change?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mathematics</strong></td>
<td>What does it mean to be equivalent? What is an equivalent fraction? How would you solve this problem?</td>
<td>Is this equation true? Is this equation true all of the time, some of the time? Or never?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applying Statistics</strong></td>
<td>What statistics are used for (describing a player’s performance, Hall of Fame)? What do top earning players have in common in their performances?</td>
<td>Is … eligible to be voted into the Hall of Fame?</td>
<td>Who was the greatest ball player? Based on their lifetime careers, how should these 5 players be ranked?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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